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“Civilizing” Noise: An Introduction to the Special Issue on Sound, Colonialism, and Power

Lauren Rosati
The Metropolitan Museum of Art

At the start of Ernst Karel and Veronika Kusumaryati’s augmented sound film Expedition Content (2020), the photojournalist Eliot Elisofon talks about sunrise. He and an American crew—filmmaker Robert Gardner and recent Harvard graduates Sam Putnam and Michael C. Rockefeller—are preparing to document the Hubula people of Netherlands New Guinea (now West Papua) for the 1961 Harvard Peabody Expedition, an anthropological survey funded in part by the Dutch colonial government. Sharing a photograph with the members of his party as part of a demonstration of documentary techniques, he notes a peculiar optical phenomenon present in the image: the saturated blue of a pre-dawn sky, picked up by the sensitive lens of a camera but invisible to the human eye.

This crepuscular light—latent, diffuse, and technologically-mediated—provides an apt metaphor for the colonial power relations entrapped by the expedition’s recording media. True, the reel-to-reel tape recorders, 16mm film cameras, still cameras, and note-taking supplies wielded by the crew document amicable conversations with Hubula people, elements of the Papuan sonic environment, and narrative accounts of tribal culture. But they also capture a series of uncomfortable encounters, laced with racist aggressions and tacit assumptions about the Hubula’s behavior. Collected under the pretense of research and cultural preservation, the
sonic documents on which *Expedition Content* is based (thirty-seven hours of audio tape recorded by Rockefeller) register overt as well as inherent tensions between the Western, white, colonial officers and their non-Western, Black, Indigenous, colonized subjects. Sound technologies like audio tape were frequently weaponized by ethnographers as tools for extracting Indigenous speech or song, often without consent, a transgressive act that Stó:lō music scholar Dylan Robinson has likened to “‘pinning down’ that which is alive, like the wings of butterflies” (149). This violent metaphor recalls the physical brutality regularly perpetrated against peoples on colonized lands, and specifically in West Papua: assaults extended to acts of aural plunder. After all, a common device used for ethnographic field recording is the “shotgun” microphone.

This special issue of *MAST: The Journal of Media Art Study and Theory* focuses on sound as a vector of colonial power. It explores listening as a form of witness or surveillance to sonic cultures, especially through the fields of ethnography and acoustic ecology, which studies the mediated sonic relationship between humans and their environment. Listening as a method of appropriation, rather than observation, is a central concern; Robinson has recently called this “hungry listening” in specific reference to settler colonial forms of perception that seek to “civilize” or “settle” Indigenous sounds (38–40). The journal issue also considers the role of sound technologies and creative practices in perpetuating and reproducing colonial power relations. Recording media, including reel-to-reel tape recorders and storage devices such as shellac discs, are investigated as colonial documents, while creative techniques such as field recording and sound mapping are examined as both preservative of the soundscapes they catalogue and at the same potentially aimed toward a colonialist extraction or salvage of sonic material.
In its concentration on “auditory significance,” to use Ronald Radano and Tejumola Olaniyan’s term for sound’s ability to produce social and political meaning, this issue engages with media history and theory through a field that might be broadly termed sound studies, a capacious and interdisciplinary realm of study that analyzes sonic practices, institutions, technologies, and discourses (2). At the risk of seeming overly expansive, it takes a syncretic approach to epistemological inquiry, unifying the theoretical silos of art history, art practice, ethnomusicology, and media history through this shared intellectual frame. It is guided by the important work of Robinson, whose book Hungry Listening significantly expands the field of Indigenous sound studies, and Gustavus Stadler, whose iconoclastic article “On Whiteness and Sound Studies” calls out the foundational and dominant whiteness of the field, both historically, in terms of the key figures celebrated as part of its canon, and intellectually, given the relative paucity of published writings on race, gender, and difference.

It also acknowledges the critical influence of those considered to be among the discipline’s founders while recognizing the ideological critiques leveled against them. For example, the late composer and sound theorist R. Murray Schafer (1933–2021) of the World Soundscape Project produced an undeniably significant body of work, establishing the field of acoustic ecology and publishing numerous treatises such as The Tuning of the World (1977) that remain standard texts, but he has also been subject to scrutiny for his mixed relationship to Indigenous Canadians both in his writings and compositions. Robinson calls attention to the “racist timbre” of Schafer’s 1961 essay “On the Limitations of Nationalism in Canadian Music,” in which he writes about the “Eskimos”—using a now outdated and derogatory term—

1 For more on sound studies, see Sterne, The Sound Studies Reader.
as “an astonishingly unmusical race” (1). Mitchell Akiyama, in an online series devoted to “Unsettling the World Soundscape Project,” revisits *Soundscapes of Canada* (1974), a sweeping series of ten hour-long programs broadcast on the national radio network and produced in part by Schafer that excluded the rich sonic cultures of Canada’s First Nations communities. The composer’s attitudes and commitments seem to have evolved in later anti-colonialist texts that explicitly call for the inclusion of First Nations communities and Indigenous perspectives within considerations of Canadian musical culture.² Yet whether these earlier slights were intentional or the result of ignorance, it is important to recognize the marginalizing impact of Schafer’s comments and projects in setting the tone for acoustic ecology and the writing of sonic art history, just as it is critical to examine, question, and critique the texts, theories, and voices upon which any discipline is founded.

This special issue on “Sound, Colonialism, and Power” holds these points in tension, bracketing the foundational authors of sound studies in order to take up Stadler’s appeal to examine race and difference in the context of the discipline. It presents a collection of scholarly essays and artists’ perspectives on Blackness, Indigeneity, disability, and otherness as they relate to broader issues around aurality, imperial authority, and (anti)colonialism. It is important to acknowledge the limits of this project’s scope. The editor invited scholars, curators, artists, and practitioner-researchers to contribute art-based research, reflecting on the issue’s theme through essays that center on a specific media artwork, whether modern or contemporary. This focus on media art necessarily delimited the range of perspectives and subjects proposed for the journal, precluding strictly historical investigations. As a single

² The editor thanks Eric Leonardson for a productive dialogue on Schafer’s legacy, and for sharing Schafer’s 1983 lecture “Canadian Culture: Colonial Culture” as one example of the composer’s potentially redemptive texts.
special issue, this volume cannot claim to attempt a decolonization of sound studies or to redress the critical perspectives it has historically lacked. Rather, it counts as one in a growing constellation of texts pushing forward this important work. Aside from those mentioned above, these include Stadler’s special issue of *Social Text* on “The Politics of Recorded Sound,” which explores the networks of power that shape sound reproduction; Jennifer Stoever-Ackerman’s theorization of the “sonic color-line” or the ways in which race is registered in and through diverse listening practices; the edited volume *Audible Empire: Music, Global Politics, Critique*, which examines European imperialism’s effect on the auditory, including sound, music, and modes of hearing; and *Remapping Sound Studies*, edited by Gavin Steingo and Jim Sykes, which reorients the field away from the North to examine sound in and through the Global South. The epistemological stakes of this journal issue are humble: not to decolonize media history and sound studies, but to agitate or “unsettle” these disciplines by examining blind spots, challenging assumptions, and confronting legacies of white supremacy and colonialism within the histories and theories of sonic cultures.

To this end, the editor invited potential authors to consider a series of questions related to the issue’s theme. To what extent is media history and sound studies in particular shaped by Western ideals of empiricism and knowing? In what ways do sonic practices and methods of recording participate in colonial systems of oppression and domination? How should artists and scholars grapple with the whiteness of sound studies? What sounds have been left out of media history?

Contributing authors address these concerns through a wide range of topics, including Indigenous sound and listening, manifestations of settler colonialism in the built environment, museum collections, sound recordings in South Asia, Deafness and hearing culture, modernist
“primitivism,” sound mapping, speech recognition efforts, and ethnographic field recording. Common threads of inquiry emerge in the selected writings. Some attend to Indigeneity, specifically to the legibility of Indigenous sounds to settler audiences. Others deal broadly with sound and site, examining the ways that sound constructs knowledge about architectural environments and the objects housed within them. Several engage with race and difference, especially the appropriation or plunder of sounds from colonial and diasporic communities.

While some authors have an academic background in fields such as anthropology, art history, communications, and sound studies, others are artists, composers, filmmakers, and musicians, and some work fluidly between these disciplines.

Karel and Kusumaryati open the issue with an essay on their recent sound film *Expedition Content*, which revisits the 1961 Harvard Peabody Expedition to document the Hubula peoples of West Papua and draws primarily on audio tape recorded by Rockefeller during the five-month trip. (Rockefeller would famously disappear later that year on another visit to the region). Led by American filmmaker Robert Gardner, and funded in part by the Dutch colonial government, the expedition was billed as a civilizing mission to demonstrate the “backwardness” of the Hubula peoples to Dutch publics. The authors outline the funding sources for the journey, introduce the expedition’s personnel, and explain the socio-political context of the venture, which occurred almost simultaneously with the seizure of West Papua from Dutch control by Indonesia (working with the support of the United States). Focusing on Rockefeller’s audio tapes, they argue for the microphone as a mediating device that provoked a “sonic encounter” between the Hubula and the expedition team, revealing the colonial relationships that governed the terms of their meeting. Ultimately, the authors interrogate the
Christopher T. Green continues this investigation of Indigenous sounds in his essay “Sonic Refusal: Indigenous Belongings without Soundtrack,” which closely examines the multimedia installation *Fair Warning: A Sacred Place* (2019) by Tlingit/Unangax̂ artist Nicholas Galanin. The work consists of photographs documenting empty display cases typically housing Northwest Coast objects in the American Museum of Natural History in New York, accompanied by an audio recording of a Native American Art sale at a major auction house. The unsettling juxtaposition between the visual absence of Indigenous material culture and the audible presence of their participation in a capitalist market is at the center of Green’s investigation. This becomes a lens through which to consider the multifaceted Indigenous strategy of “refusal,” a defiant withholding of cultural forms and knowledge and a method for rejecting participation in settler structures. The author also levels a critique against museums, which render silent and static Indigenous artworks and belongings that must often be activated through sound or movement. Through his analysis, Green questions both the utility of these cultural spaces for Indigenous objects and the cycles of colonial consumption in which they participate.

Sadie Couture and Russell Gendron then introduce their anti-colonial cartography project titled the Mayne Island Soundmap, a digital chart devoted to the sounds, songs, and stories of a small community off the coast of British Columbia, Canada. Recordings captured for the map aim to document the cycle of Indigenous inhabitants, European settlers, and immigrant communities that have lived on the island and to challenge the notion of a geographic “home” on land that was forcibly taken. Acknowledging the map itself as a
colonial construct intended to survey, name, and ultimately claim territory, the authors address
the limits of their project as well as their aim to subvert “settler perceptual orders.” Through
the Mayne Island Soundmap, they explore the role of sound recording in preserving Indigenous
sovereignty.

In “Nothing to Hear, So to Speak: Spaces of Sound and Listening at 100 McCaul
Street,” Angus Tarnawsky explores “the audible traces of colonization” in the buildings of The
Ontario College of Art and Design University, a campus situated on the ancestral and
traditional territories of the Mississaugas of the Credit, the Haudenosaunee, the Anishinaabe,
and the Huron-Wendat. Through a site-specific sound installation in the university’s main
building, Tarnawsky calls attention to both the intentional and incidental noises produced
everyday within the university’s halls, as well as the inescapable din of the built environment,
and invites listeners to consider its absent sounds, which are often ignored. The author uses
Robinson’s theory of “listening otherwise” to understand the existence of perceptual biases and
to encourage auditors to reconfigure their subjective positions in order to adopt a “decolonial
sensibility.”

Bhavisha Panchia provides an interpretative framework for another media artwork, her
audio collage Imagine you are in a museum: What do you hear?, commissioned for the 2020
contemporary art biennale Manifesta 13. Addressing the colonialist origins of museums, she
condemns the “extraction and silencing” of Indigenous art and ethnographic artifacts,
displaced from their original contexts as part of imperial conquests and then collected and
displayed by Western institutions. This practice extended, Panchia argues, to colonial
expositions, which frequently presented recordings and performances of music from various
territories. Through her audio work, Panchia invites listeners to consider the sounds of museum
objects and to attune to “systems of colonial modernity and its extractive and accumulative logic.”

In “Bamboula!: Dada Performance as Sonic Blackface,” Hilary Whitham Sánchez analyzes the simultaneous Dada poem “L’Amiral cherche un maison à louer (The Admiral is Searching for a House to Rent),” written and performed in 1916 by Marcel Janco, Richard Hulsenbeck, and Tristan Tzara at Zurich’s Cabaret Voltaire. Tzara retrospectively described the performance as a bamboula, using a Bantu word that refers to both a small African drum and the dance performed to its rhythms. His equation of the poetry recitation with musique nègre, Sánchez argues, instantiates the “central paradox of Dada performance,” specifically its appropriation and subsequent mistranslation of cultural forms from sub-Saharan Africa and the Pacific Islands. In her essay, Sánchez reconsiders Dada sound and performance practices through an anticolonial lens.

The British colonial plunder of South Asian songs and musical performances in the early twentieth century and the politics of ethnographic field recording are the subjects of Budhaditya Chattopadhyay’s essay “Uncolonizing Early Sound Recordings.” The author examines the commercial exploitation of Hindustani classical music by the London Gramophone and Typewriter Company—which sought to permanently fix and ultimately sell South Asian musical recordings to Western audiences—and details the resistance of singers and musicians to being “captured” on recording media. Technologies such as shellac discs are investigated as tools of colonial invasion and as inadequate methods for recording the improvisational, open-ended, and live sound practices of South Asia. Chattopadhyay questions whether such musical forms can, or should, be preserved.
Pedro J S Vieira de Oliveira details the present use of “accent recognition software” by the German Federal Office for Migration and Refugees to assess an immigrant’s country of origin and charts the fascinating history of its origins in Prussian prisoner-of-war camps. Within these paradigms of “colonial listening,” government officers aimed to evaluate a person’s “home” for the purposes of research or asylum processing, using only the character and cadence of the voice, as well as speech content, as evidence. Instead, the author argues, these language assessment programs “complicate the boundaries between body, accent, citizenship, and belonging.” This is both the subject and object of interrogation in the author’s performance and radio art piece *A Series of Gaps Rather Than a Presence* (2019). Oliveira’s media artwork explores the narrative elicitation of “home” through modes of speech and questions whether listening is a neutral act after all.

Finally, in their essay “A Map of a Sound as a Space: Christine Sun Kim’s *(LISTEN)*,” Charles Eppley revisits an East Village sound walk led in American Sign Language by artist Christine Sun Kim. While sound walks typically invite hearing participants to experience the acoustical environment of a particular place, Kim asked attendees to concentrate on “non-aural encounters with sound and listening through affect, memory, and other non-acoustical modes of perception like touch, taste, and smell.” This project, Eppley explains, demonstrates Deaf culture’s rich engagement with epistemologies of listening and highlights the audist and ableist roots of sonic art. Rejecting the perceived limitations of sound and listening, Eppley argues for the decolonization of sound studies to incorporate the listening practices of the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, offering a potent condemnation of the social and intellectual power structures that create systemic inequities and barriers to inclusion.
Works Cited
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Expedition Content and the Harvard Peabody Expedition to Netherlands New Guinea, 1961

Veronika Kusumaryati
Georgetown University

Ernst Karel
UC Berkeley

Expedition Content is a feature-length augmented sound work composed for the space of the cinema. The piece, which occasionally uses the digital cinema projector in addition to multichannel sound, is composed exclusively from the audio archives of the 1961 Harvard Peabody Expedition to Netherlands New Guinea, the name of which implicates the Peabody Museum of Archaeology and Ethnology at Harvard in the ongoing colonial history of West Papua.\(^1\) Robert Gardner organized the expedition, primarily to make a film: the result, Dead Birds, is often considered a landmark of ethnographic filmmaking.

Funded and supported by the Dutch government, the expedition took place at a critical historical moment for the Hubula people of the Baliem Valley:\(^2\) just a few years earlier,

\(^1\) West Papua is a self-identifying term referring to Indonesia’s easternmost and marginal provinces of Papua and West Papua. It occupies the western half of New Guinea Island. The Dutch colonized West Papua (thus “Netherlands New Guinea”) from 1895 to 1962. The British, German, and Australians colonized the eastern part, which is now the independent state of Papua New Guinea. See Drooglever.

\(^2\) Other Papuan tribes referred to the group living in the Baliem Valley as “Dani,” a name that was also taken up by expedition members. This group now prefers to be called the “Hubula,” which means “people from the valley.”
American Christian missionaries and Dutch colonial officers took over Hubula territories and slowly “pacified” the population. No longer free to determine their own future, the Hubula began to become Dutch subjects. In the Kurulu area where filming took place, the colonial government and missionaries agreed to wait until the expedition was complete to enter. Soon after, in 1963, Indonesia took over the region and has occupied it ever since under harsh military rule that is tantamount to a campaign of genocide.

The peculiar moment of the expedition can be situated within a multiplicity of historical trajectories, all of which center around colonialism: of extractivism, the oppression and genocide that started during the Dutch period but intensified under Indonesian rule with the help of the US as an imperial power, the already archaic form of the anthropological expedition, and new modes of engaging with media in the development of approaches to visual anthropology and ethnographic film. How do the expedition’s sound archives speak to its colonial and imperial contexts?

Listening to the recordings provides an opportunity to reflect on this important question, precisely because of the limits of audibility set by the audio archives themselves. Relationships are sonified through the microphone, connected by cable to a Nagra III tape recorder powered by D-cell batteries, and held in the hand of young Harvard graduate Michael Rockefeller, heir to the Standard Oil fortune. Listening to Expedition Content conjures more than colonial power relations, but by including in the composition those moments where the microphone was turned on the expedition members themselves—labeled in the archival record as “expedition content”—the work foregrounds for the listener the structures that enabled the piece to come into being. Here we elaborate on some of those structures, which are invoked and evoked through the experience of listening to the edited tapes.
The Expedition

As Robert Gardner later described it, the goal of the expedition was to carry out “a comprehensive study of a single community of Neolithic warrior farmers” (Gardner and Heider xv). The fantasy of stone age culture was important for the Dutch, who were under international pressure to decolonize their last colony. The fact that American anthropologists readily adopted this designation evidences Dutch success in persuading the US to be involved in its colonial project, and perhaps a shared ideology between these colonial and imperial actors. Jan Broekhuiyse, a young Dutch anthropologist who had already studied the Hubula intensively, was assigned by the government to act as a kind of chaperone for the expedition. He later wrote that “the Dutch government had a great interest in showing to the world the backward position of the New Guinea indigenous population” (1). The purpose was clear: to create an impression that Indigenous Papuans were not ready for self-determination, thus requiring Dutch patronage to be civilized and modern. In that context, the Dutch Adviser for Population Affairs Victor de Bruyn was given broad latitude to find American filmmakers suited to the task. He approached Gardner, who, eager for a project through which he could establish himself as a filmmaker, readily agreed to participate (Gardner and Warren 12–13).

Gardner’s grandiose statement, in which he positioned the expedition for the historical record, recapitulates the arrogant delusion—widespread amongst anthropologists at the time—that a “comprehensive study” was possible. (Never mind the fact that Gardner’s statement imagines a “single” community). Gardner was clearly inspired by salvage anthropology, thinking that Hubula cultures would disappear without the white men documenting them. This delusion was perhaps emboldened by the experimental audiovisual methods used for the expedition. This study would be not just textual but also visible and audible.
Anthropological expeditions had been a popular mode of scientific production since the early twentieth century, but in the late 1950s, they declined in popularity as an increasingly institutionalized discipline established the romantic figure of a lone anthropologist doing fieldwork. At Harvard, however, some anthropological expeditions continued to be carried out, including the notable example of the Marshall family’s expeditions to the Kalahari starting in the early 1950s that produced a series of influential ethnographic films on the Ju/'hoansi. The Harvard Peabody Expedition to Netherlands New Guinea also followed in the footsteps of earlier anthropologists in Oceania who intensively used audiovisual technology for anthropological research.

In addition to his own filming, Gardner also assembled a team to cover still photography, audio, and two modes of writing: novelistic nonfiction and conventional ethnographic prose. In addition to Dead Birds (1964), products of the expedition include Peter Matthiessen’s novel Under the Mountain Wall (1962), two books of photographs, and doctoral dissertations by anthropologists Karl Heider and Broekhuijse, both members of the expedition team. Underscoring the colonial nature of the venture, the members of the expedition themselves were closely tied to brutal forms of international capitalism and represented great wealth and institutional support. For example, while Gardner and John Marshall (whose own family wealth came from the military contractor Raytheon, co-founded by his father) were editing what became The Hunters and founding the Film Study Center in the basement of the Peabody Museum, Gardner’s brother George Peabody Gardner served as chairman of the notorious United Fruit Company, on whose behalf the CIA deposed the democratically elected

---

3 In addition to government and private sources, the expedition also received funding from the Peabody Museum, the Norman Foundation, and the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research.
government of Guatemala and installed a pro-business military dictatorship in 1954. (Speaking of the CIA, in the 1950s, Matthiessen had co-founded *The Paris Review* in part as a cover for his main work as a CIA agent keeping an eye on leftist writers such as James Baldwin).

Rockefeller, the audio recordist, had family history in the area: with Dutch partner Shell, Standard Oil had drilled in West Papua since 1935. He had wanted to travel to West Papua to extract art objects from the Asmat group for the Museum of Primitive Art in New York, newly opened by his father Nelson and for which he was named as trustee. He approached Gardner, who later quipped that he “sensed a source of funding” (Gardner and Warren 18). Indeed the Rockefeller Foundation supported the expedition, using Standard Oil money that also later positioned the elder Rockefeller to become Governor of New York and later Vice President of the United States. Michael’s special training in both photography and audio recording for the expedition thus continued his family’s extractivism in West Papua. Eliot Elisofon, a *Life* magazine photographer who would later have the title of “research associate in Primitive Art” at the Peabody Museum, led the expedition photography. Broekhuijse and Heider joined the crew as resident anthropologists.

---

4 The Gardners belong to the same family that funded the Peabody Museum in 1866.

5 The museum aimed “to foster the understanding and enjoyment of the arts of Africa, Oceania, and the pre-Columbian Americas” (*Primitive Art Masterworks: An Exhibition* 9). The racist term “primitive art” was commonly associated with tribal and non-industrial societies of Africa and Oceania. See Torgovnick.

6 See also Robert Gardner’s letter to John Otis (Jo) Brew, then director of Peabody Museum, from May 15, 1960.

7 Heider would publish several volumes on the Hubula, none of which were ever made available in Hubula or Indonesian languages (see Heider, *The Dugum Dani* [1970]; Heider, *The Dani of West Irian*). Broekhuijse, in a remarkable text published shortly before his death in 2020, accused Gardner and Matthiessen of appropriating his research as their own without credit.
Listening through the Archives

Setting aside the substantial filmic and photographic record of the survey, its audio tapes provide a different way of entering into the encounter of the expedition without the imposition of the visual. Expedition Content likewise allows listeners to engage with the materiality of the tapes, with minimal words and visual interventions to guide the mind. The listener is left alone with the microphone and tape machine as a point of sonic encounter between the Hubula, Rockefeller, and the others on the expedition team.

Rockefeller’s primary task was to acquire and catalogue sounds, an impulse reflected in his employment of taxonomic categories when organizing the tapes, such as “sounds of nature,” “ceremonial sounds,” and “occupational sounds.” It is also evident in the controlled situations he created, for example, by paying people to perform vocal and instrumental music in one of the expedition’s tents. Yet the 123 audio tapes reveal far more than what Rockefeller thought he was recording, in terms of the relationships that emerged through the ethnographic encounters.

The subjective experience of listening to the digitized analogue tapes far exceeds the actuality of the initial encounter. Together with any imagined visual referent we hear the grain of the tape, the recorder switching on and off, the wind on the microphone, and the handling of the cables. Although Rockefeller ostensibly recorded sound to accompany Gardner’s film, in practice his work became somewhat autonomous: because Gardner’s Arri camera itself was so loud, recordings made in its proximity would have been worthless. Instead, Rockefeller and his microphone sought out diverse sonic encounters, “collecting” sounds, extracting songs, and venturing into the sonic world of the Hubula. His audio recordings reveal a vast Hubula universe, to the extent that it intersected with the radius of the microphone and associated
apparatus. They are not bound by the visual, by the excessive display of racialized bodies that characterized *Dead Birds* and its colonial gaze (Fanon; Griffiths). This does not mean, however, that Rockefeller’s microphone was devoid of power. Contrary to Gardner’s film, which removes any presence of the expedition members, *Expedition Content* makes their presence explicit and audible: their vocal inflections, accents, and songs point not only to their class origin but also to the brutal history of American slavery, sexism, and racism against Black peoples contained within the history of their voices. In one scene, a woman and child ask Rockefeller to sing a song. He is caught off-guard—“me? I sing? Me? Me?”—but then starts to sing the first song that apparently came to mind: “Blue Tail Fly,” a slavery-era blackface minstrel tune.\(^8\)

An extended scene that may lead listeners to reevaluate what they’ve heard up to that point renders a secretly recorded birthday party for Matthiesen and Rockefeller. We hear various references to New York as the center of the expedition members’ cultural world. For example, Matthiesen, affecting an accent that he associates with Black jazz musicians, shares with the others that white musicians Gerry Mulligan and David Brubeck “are just nowhere,” and that “the new cats are Thelonious [Monk], Cannonball [Adderly], Oscar [Peterson], and Charlie Mingus.” Later, continuing in what seems to be a crude imitation of African American vernacular, Rockefeller tells a racist joke about “Annie Lou up in Harlem.” When the conversation turns to the Hubula people who are heard laughing and talking in the background, anti-Black hostility is expressed in a joking tone. Anthropological projects like this expedition seemed unable to overcome their imperial origin.

---

\(^8\) First popular in the 1840s, “Jimmy Crack Corn (Blue Tail Fly)” regained popularity during the revival of American folk music in the 1950s.
The Subaltern Can Speak

*Expedition Content* includes Indigenous Hubula discourse about the white visitors. In a moment that comes near the end of the composition, Tukum—who plays the central character of the young boy “Pua” in *Dead Birds*—looks at a photograph as he says:

*This is a man*

*This one too, that one is white*

*Wearing glasses*

*The eyes, the eyes, the eyes, the eyes too*

*Aji, be calm. Sit still.*

*There is nothing in this part*

*The big man is here*

*This is the same*

*This is the big man here*

*One more, here!*

*Look, another one*

*He's holding a gun*

*Ah a gun?*

*That one is not*

*Look at this one*

*The one in front looks very arrogant.*

Beyond speech and song, the recordings also document the more-than-human world of bees, cicadas, and water, and the transforming Hubula world with the arrival of DC-3 airplanes and radio transmissions. Included in the composition is also a layer of institutional preservation of
the colonial record in the form of the voices of archivists transferring and cataloguing the tapes at the Indiana University Archives of Traditional Music. Missing from the recordings are the sounds, noted by Gardner in his journal, of occasional gunshots from visiting colonial officers, who had agreed not to interfere with filming but would transform life in the area soon after the expedition’s end.

Veronika Kusumaryati and Hubula anthropologist Nicolaus Lokobal began to translate Rockefeller’s tapes in 2015. When Lokobal passed away in 2017, Korneles Siep, a Hubula musician, continued the work. The sonic world reflected in the archival tapes radically diverged from Siep’s more contemporary experience of West Papua; his nostalgia and trauma shaped many facets of the composition. Expedition Content directors Kusumaryati and Ernst Karel, both non-Black, were highly conscious that listening to the imperial archive in order to develop an institutional critique of visual anthropology, Harvard, or the Film Study Center ran the risk of re-enacting the colonial project itself, in asking the Hubula people to listen to a document of their pacification. Indeed, a screening of Expedition Content for a Papuan audience raised more questions than it answered. Young Papisans asked why Michael and the expedition team came in the first place. “What is so interesting about our lives, about our cultures? What makes them come? Is it our land? Our rich natural resources?” one audience member asked. Indeed, the crack has opened, but the horizon of decolonization is not anywhere near.

9 The archives also include documentation of the tapes, including a chronological list, a tape journal, and a tape index organized by genre.
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Sonic Refusal: Indigenous Belongings without Soundtrack

Christopher T. Green
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For many Indigenous artists, refusal has become a key strategy for avoiding the co-optation by settler colonial audiences and institutions of sensitive or restricted elements of their work. In political and Indigenous studies, refusal often refers to the refusal to acknowledge settler colonial state sovereignty and authority, as well as the methodological refusal to inform on or divulge protected knowledge that throughout history has been expropriated in the service of regimes of power and control (Brown; Simpson, “Ethnographic Refusal”; Simpson, Mohawk Interruptus; McGranahan). In the visual arts, refusal can mean an unwillingness to illustrate, reproduce, demonstrate, or otherwise divulge restricted cultural forms, such as images of deceased ancestors or restricted ceremonial regalia, for outsider viewers. While such acts of refusal are coming to be more understood and respected, far less frequently considered in Indigenous arts and ethnographic records are sonic refusals—the unwillingness to sound or make audible protected or community-owned songs, performances, and aural elements. Contemporary and historic Indigenous cultural production is deeply intermedial in nature and frequently emphasizes multisensory experiences of visual forms alongside dance, song, and performance. It is thus essential to consider how aural as well as visual components in
intermedia practices are being withheld by Indigenous artists from consumption by outsider audiences.

Many significant Indigenous sound artists, musicians, and composers have come to wider attention in recent years, among them Raven Chacon, Laura Ortman, Tanya Tagaq, and Elisa Harkins. The photo-audio installation Fair Warning: A Sacred Place (2019) by Tlingit/Unangax̂ artist Nicholas Galanin offers a case study for intermedia Indigenous practices as a work which visualizes absence while refusing access to Alaska Native cultural soundscapes despite a continuously issuing audio component. The installation consists of a series of photographs that depict empty display cases from the dimly lit Northwest Coast Hall at the American Museum of Natural History (AMNH) in New York. Galanin took the photographs while the museum was in preparations for the ongoing and long overdue renovation of the hall, first opened in 1899 and organized by the anthropologist Franz Boas into alcoves dedicated to individual cultures and their context rather than universal object types or forms, as was more common museological practice in the late-nineteenth century. This central organizing principle and its emphasis on the uniqueness of cultures has organized the hall since then, with some aesthetic and structural additions in the intervening decades, such as added totem poles and commissioned murals that replaced the windows of the darkened hall.¹

As a result of Galanin’s timing, the illuminated display cases are shown emptied of their exhibits: faint discolored silhouettes and mounts are all that remain of the Northwest Coast Native masks, rattles, baskets, and other regalia and belongings that previously filled the gallery. Each photograph is subtitled after the display case it depicts, each of which retains its

¹ The renovations on the Northwest Coast Hall were begun in 2017 with the consultation of two dozen Native and non-Native experts, including Nuu-chah-nulth co-curator Haa’yuups (Ron Hamilton); the anticipated reopening of the hall is currently scheduled for spring 2022.
gallery label: Warfare, Basketry, Supernatural Spirits and Animals, and Prestige and Wealth. The absence of Indigenous material culture leaves only the artifice of museum categorization to focus on, and all its technologies of display.

An audio component accompanies the photographs, sourced from a June 2019 “Native American Art” sale at Bonhams auction house. Over the course of the approximately two-hour fifteen-minute recording, consisting of only a portion of the total auction proceedings, the isolated feed of the auctioneers is heard as they collect bids on a procession of Indigenous art, material culture, and sacred belongings. After announcing the lot numbers and titles, the auctioneers rapidly run through ever-increasing dollar amounts and issue a “fair warning” before dropping the gavel to close the sale with a sharp crack and the seemingly terminal proclamation of “sold.” When installed for the exhibition “Speculations on the Infrared” at the Elizabeth Foundation for the Arts in New York in 2021, four of the photographs surrounded an audio cone positioned above a bench, inviting the viewer to sit and listen to the auction recording while enveloped by the empty display cases (see fig. 1).² The pairing of the Northwest Coast Hall’s hollow displays and the audible auction transactions within the installation speaks to the theft of ancestral belongings from Indigenous communities by cultural institutions and private collectors alike. In the late nineteenth century, wrongly fearing the disappearance of Indigenous peoples, many institutions engaged in what has been termed “salvage anthropology”: widespread efforts to collect and document cultural artifacts for preservation in museums. Such collecting frequently resulted in cultural artifacts being taken

---

² *Fair Warning* has been exhibited twice in person, at the Harbourfront Center, Toronto, September 21 – January 5, 2020 and in “Speculations on the Infrared,” curated by the author at the EFA Project Space, New York, January 30 – March 6, 2021. It appears in the Anchorage Museum’s ongoing virtual exhibition “Created to Hold Power (Intellectual Property),” where the photo series can be scrolled through while the audio component plays over the visitor’s browser: anchoragemuseum.org/created-to-hold-power.
from source communities without clan or tribal permission and the removal of sacred and culturally significant belongings from active use, whether through the looting of graves and seemingly “abandoned” (though in fact seasonal) village sites, or through acquisitions made by private individuals through coerced trade during this period of colonial hardship. Galanin implicates museums and commercial ventures alike in the continued cycle of cultural consumption, particularly as it relates to his own community. Included in the Bonhams sale, for example, was Lot 68, “An Early and Exceptional Tlingit Rattle” in the form of an oystercatcher, formerly from the Harvard Peabody Museum collection and collected from

Fig. 1. Nicholas Galanin, *Fair Warning: A Sacred Place*, 2019, photographic prints and audio, 44 x 64 in. each. Installation view of “Speculations on the Infrared,” EFA Project Space, New York, 2021. Photograph courtesy of the artist and Peter Blum Gallery, New York. Exhibition Photography © EFA Project Space/Yann Chashanovski.
Sheet’ká Kwáan (Sitka, Alaska), home to Galanin and his clan, the L’uknax.ádi (Raven/Coho Salmon Clan). The audio and visual components mix public and private systems of cultural display (museum) and transaction (auction house) in a seeming dialectic between public and private ownership. Yet, as the mixing suggests, for Galanin’s community and Indigenous peoples more broadly those systems represent present-day instantiations of the same history of salvage and removal.

“Galanin’s installation,” art historian Ian Bourland recently wrote of the work, is “immediately unsettling in its presentation of absence: ‘artifacts’ taken from their source communities and freely available for scrutiny in a state museum replaced by inert voids.” Galanin takes advantage of the silence implied by the voids of the empty glass cases to heighten this disquieting effect: rather than allowing the viewer to contemplate the absence in silence, Fair Warning’s audio imposes the non-diegetic forward march of the auction bids, sharply punctuated by the auction gavel. Yet, despite this manipulation of implied silence, the sonic context of the photographs is in reality quite different. The Northwest Coast Hall remained opened to the public for many months after the majority of the collections were removed, serving as a thoroughfare for visitors noisily passing through to other parts of the museum.

In addition to the bustle of visitors, most impactful for the hall’s soundscape was, still at its center, the Digital Totem, a vertical interactive digital interface. Installed in 2016, the Digital Totem is a multimedia installation with two touch-screens from which visitors can navigate close-up views and details of thirty-five objects in the collection, zoom in on regions and landscapes of the Northwest Coast, read interviews with Indigenous collaborators including Tlingit ones, listen to local languages, and, per the museum, “create their own
Northwest Coast soundscapes with recordings of natural features, animals, and local instruments.” These latter recordings included raven cries, orca calls, waves crashing, and drums beating, among other environmental sounds intended to give context to the material culture and Indigenous lifeways of the Pacific Northwest. The effect while installed was to, through audience interaction, bring a vibrant soundscape into the gallery that had otherwise for over a century been a space of quiet reverence, mysterious and “magical” for many visitors (Jacknis). But rather than reflecting a facsimile soundscape of the Pacific Northwest, as a field recording might, the Digital Totem invited the public to construct an artificial, even fantastical, soundtrack to their experience. Indeed, even after the display cases in the hall were emptied for the renovation, the Digital Totem continued to project sounds and living Indigenous voices
detached from the belongings in the collection. The gallery was thus neither silent nor unoccupied, despite what Galanin’s images imply and what some critics have interpreted them to suggest.

With its inclusion of community collaboration and Indigenous voices and testimony, the Digital Totem appears to hold something in common with recent digital repatriation efforts, that is, the return, through digital replication and transmission, of Indigenous knowledge, techniques, and belongings (Hollinger; Isaac). At its best, the Digital Totem is an effort to return some context and Indigenous perspectives to the reception and appreciation of the Northwest Coast Hall collections. But, as the scholars Emily Martin and Susan Harding have appraised, this effort is more of a simulation than a concrete return: “it seems a gesture more
virtual—literally and figuratively—than real” that “makes no gesture at all toward the sense of reparations called for by NAGPRA [Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act] and the new kinds of museum-community relations emerging elsewhere” (Martin and Harding 9). Indeed, while the Digital Totem provided context and enlivened the sensorial experience of the Northwest Coast Hall, particularly aurally, the inclusion of Northwest Coast voices and sounds did not attempt to reciprocate in any way back to Indigenous communities as digital repatriations purport to do. Thus, despite their apparent similarities, the Digital Totem is not an example of digital repatriation so much as a digital addendum to the museum and its accompanying technologies of display. Indeed, as anthropologist Heidi Geismar argues, the digital display is not “a simple form of remediation and translation” of Indigenous values to a New York public but rather emblematic of a complex process of “indexicality, mimesis and materiality” that underscores how museum practices, processes, and cultural forms emerge from a social web that exists between communities of origin, physical collections of material belongings, and digital repositories of information (Geismar 20-2). The sounds and media elements of the Digital Totem provided a soundtrack to the Northwest Coast Hall, one produced through community collaboration, but it gave nothing in return to the source communities. Further, when the hall was emptied of its collections, these simulated sounds became an artificial context without even the objects or originals to purportedly contextualize.

The Tlingit scholar, poet, and orator Nora Marks Dauenhauer has described how visually-oriented Western museum display strategies are inappropriate for experiencing Indigenous belongings, many of which must be activated by song and dance. For the Tlingit, “History (the stories of covenants among people, animals, spirits, and the land), song and dance, visual art, and the ritual use of an art object are inseparable” (Dauenhauer, “Tlingit
At.óow” 101). She describes the display of Tlingit visual art without the songs and “ritual process that confirms and reconfirms its mythic and spiritual context” in sonic terms: “Museum displays and books often do injustice to this traditional sense of totality and, therefore, can be disconcerting to Native people. […] Museum display and the description of objects in Western tradition is by nature more static and de-contextualized, at best, like a movie without a soundtrack” (Dauenhauer, “Tlingit At.óow” 101). The Digital Totem overlaid a soundscape in the Northwest Coast Hall that was in practice decontextualizing: the wrong songs, sounds, and voices accompany the belongings.

Many of the Tlingit belongings in the Northwest Coast collection have the status of at.óow: important emblems representing lineage, heritage, and the associated rights of Tlingit clans. The word at.óow means “our belongings” or “something that you own,” and Dauenhauer describes it as a “fundamental concept [that] underlies all dimensions of Tlingit social structure, oral literature, iconography, and ceremonial life” (Dauenhauer, “Traditions and Concepts” 29). At.óow can be physical belongings and art objects that represent clan crests in visual forms, such as hats, tunics, regalia, or clan houses, but also include songs, music, dances, stories, names, spirits, and designs. The ritual display of at.óow is accompanied by the performance of appropriate songs and customary rhythmic oratory that acknowledges and welcomes the crests. Songs can themselves be considered at.óow and are strictly owned by clans or hiit (house groups). As an important means for communicating relationships between people, other-than-human beings, and ancestral lands, songs not only provide the “soundtrack” to Tlingit art but also play essential spiritual roles in effecting transitions in ancestral or communal context, such as the ku.éex’, or potlatch, when mourning a death or celebrating a (re)birth (Thornton et al.). Song, dance, and material culture interact and form a relational set
of practices that feed and nourish ancestors during ku.éex’ and ceremonial feasting, including the gankas’ix’l or “fire dish” ritual and horn spoons intricately carved with clan stories and crest figures (Dauenhauer and Dauenhauer 53; Victor-Howe). For the Tlingit, then, the Northwest Coast Hall display decontextualizes the interconnection of all aspects of Tlingit life—visual art, song, dance, kinship, material culture, and spirituality—including a sonic rupture of Tlingit laws, ancestral relations, and world views.

Galanin is a keen sound artist and turns the absence of the Tlingit cultural soundtrack into the juxtaposition that is at the heart of Fair Warning. When composing his photos for the installation, he experienced the Northwest Coast Hall emptied of Indigenous belongings yet still full of the sounds of museum visitors and the Digital Totem. As exhibited thus far in public contemporary art settings distant from Lingít Aaní (Tlingit Land), the emptiness of the
photographed display cases is legible to an assumed non-Native art viewer as an absence. But Galanin’s audio component critically replaces the ambient Northwest Coast Hall soundscape that is itself absent of the proprietary songs and sounds with which the at.óow and belongings formerly in the cases should be accompanied and fed. Galanin’s auction soundtrack is a dubbing, but two steps removed from the Tlingit soundtrack. He excises the simulated sounds of the Digital Totem and acoustically encodes Fair Warning with the language and rhythm of colonial commodification. Rather than the cadence and drumbeat of Tlingit song and oratory, he inserts the measured drone of the auction house and the beat of its gavel.

Fig. 5. Nicholas Galanin, *Fair Warning: A Sacred Place – Prestige and Wealth*, 2019, photographic print, 44 x 64 in. Photograph courtesy of the artist and Peter Blum Gallery, New York.
Xwélméxw (Stó:lō) scholar Dylan Robinson’s concept of “hungry listening” demonstrates how “settler colonial forms of perception” and “unmarked forms of listening” can hide epistemic and perceptual colonial violence from uncritically listening settlers (Robinson 2, 10). Fair Warning counters “hungry listening” by non-Tlingit audiences with a sonic refusal. Acknowledging the absence of a Tlingit soundtrack alongside the absence of the display cases, Galanin refuses to replace it with Tlingit song or simulated soundscapes and instead overwrites it with the capital hunger of the auctioneer. He thus refuses to feed the settler desire to hear an authentic Indigenous performance appropriate for the absent belongings, and simultaneously refutes the artificial soundtrack of the Digital Totem. Rather than feed the absent at.óow, ancestral relations in material form, with song and dance as Robinson notes of performances by some of his contemporaries, Galanin refuses to visualize those belongings and does not reveal any reciprocal act of sustenance for the audience (Robinson 92). The result, instead, is a feedback loop of consumption. Galanin feeds the sounds of consumption back to the hungry listener, forcing them to listen to colonial engorgement as a kind of consumption by proxy. And like a hungry person watching another eat, perhaps one becomes aware of one’s own cravings and the hungry listening taking place. By activating the aural senses, Galanin puts the colonial collecting of Indigenous material belongings into conversation with sonic consumption, a relationship that has always existed, according to Robinson, certainly in the case of Tlingit ethnographers (Coray). Galanin replaces the cultural silence implied by the empty display cases with the sounds of capital consumption. But his refusal of hungry listening proposes different futurities for the ongoing lives of Indigenous belongings housed in museums, and the soundtracks that can and will feed them. The striking images of the empty museum hall suggest a potential future in which museum
storage rooms are emptied and the collections returned to Indigenous communities. There, Galanin proposes, the belongings will be sung to and fed anew, existing in communities with “the capacity to see without being seen, and the desire to exist without being fed upon.”
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Charting a Different World:
Sound Mapping Together on Coast Salish Territories

Sadie Couture
McGill University

Russell Gendron
Independent Musician

Just on the other side of this little point they call Helen Point today, our name is XIXNEŠEN, for that place, it means the sacred track. But when the creator made these islands, they made them from our people; they were human at one time. He made them from our people. He threw them from WSÁNEĆ—where we live—he threw them from there and they landed in different parts of the straits, out at sea and they became those islands...

—J,ŠINTEN/Dr. John Elliott, quoted in Mayne Island Soundmap

Fig. 1. Image from "XIXNEŠEN," a piece on the Mayne Island Soundmap.

Click here to listen to the story.
When European settlers first came to the West Coast of North America, they made maps. As they did so, they charted into being the world both as they understood it and as they wished it to be (Berland; Luckert). As Métis-Cree educator, curator, and filmmaker Kamala Todd writes, “in the rapacious rush to possess and settle the land, the European newcomers called the land empty, free for the taking . . . Appointing themselves authors of the ‘new world,’ the newcomers wrote Indigenous people out of the story, inscribing their own narratives onto the remade land” (8). Maps have often been central to these processes of dispossession (Blomley; Rose-Redwood et al.). However, different map-making traditions have always existed. Making maps differently can “challenge our relationships with the environment and the dominant culture,” offering a change in perspective and a glimpse into a different way of being (Engel). It is in the context of these histories and cartographies that the Mayne Island Soundmap (MISM) was created.

SKTAK or Mayne Island—nestled off the coast of what is known as British Columbia, Canada—has been traditionally used by a large number of Indigenous nations including the Lekwungen, Snaw-Naw-As, WESÁNEĆ, Scia-new, T'Sou-ke, Mlahat, Sc̓ωwaθən Məsteyəxʷ, and the Hul'qumí'nnum Musitumuhw, including Stz'uminus, Cowichan, Halalt Lake Cowichan, Lyackson, and Penelakut. The ways in which these nations managed overlapping sovereignties is challenging for settler worldviews to understand and is a complex issue for local communities.¹ Mayne was claimed and named by European settlers in the nineteenth century, and Indigenous nations’ access to and control over resources in this area was interrupted. Since

¹ We refer to these groups as Coast Salish in the title of this piece not to diminish their unique and individual characteristics but to reflect the ways in which many nations have used Mayne Island rather than just one.
then, Mayne has been a majority working-class settler community. Around 1900, many Japanese-Canadians came to the island until their forced resettlement and internment during World War II (M. Elliot). Today, no permanent Indigenous community exists on the island; there is a reserve with very few inhabitants, and individual Indigenous folks live amongst settlers. The European-settler past and present is well represented in publications, the local museum, in the lived experiences and memories of residents, and in how the island presents itself to the world.

The *MISM* is a digital mapping project hosted on a website. Produced by Russell Gendron and Sadie Couture (the authors of this essay), it features a visual depiction of the island with thirteen sound pieces embedded in various locations (see fig. 2). Mayne is a special place for Gendron, whose European-settler family’s presence in the region extends from the early 1900s to the present day. Couture, a casual visitor to Mayne and a scholar and artist of European-settler descent, offered an outsider’s view. The *MISM* emerged from a desire to look more closely at Gendron’s settler roots and to interrogate notions of home and feelings of belonging and ownership. It was also a deeply collaborative project: both Indigenous and non-Indigenous people connected to Mayne, residents, historians, local artists, plants, animals, the

---

2 **SKTAK** is a **SENĆOTEN** name shared with us by Elder John Elliot of the **WJOLEŁP** people, which describes what is roughly known as the area that includes Mayne Island, Active Pass and Galiano Island. Many nations have traditionally used Mayne, and refer to Mayne on their own terms and in their own languages. There is no one Indigenous name for the island or for specific places on the island. In the mid-nineteenth century, the island was named after a British naval officer, Richard Charles Mayne (M. Elliot 2-3), and this name remains in broad use.

3 Both Gendron and Couture were born and raised on unceded and occupied xʷməθkʷəy̓əm, Skwxwú7mesh, and səlil̓wətaʔɬ territories.
land, and the water all shaped the map. Some volunteered while others were compensated for their contributions.⁴

The MISM aims to complicate listeners’ notions of place, history, and futurity through audio-visual representations of space and time. Some pieces on the map directly address the history of colonization and dispossession in the area while others center on different topics. Visitors hear about the Indigenous past, present, and future of the island, about the Japanese-Canadian islanders, about invasive deer, mental health challenges, folk musicians, and friendly

---

⁴ J.SINTEN/Dr. John Elliott, Joanna Weeks, Bill Weeks, Marie Weeks, Alan Weeks, John Aitken, Jenny Ritter, Emile Scott, Jon Schmidt, Miyuki Nagata, Kai Nagata, Jennifer Iredale, Claire Gendron, Paula Bucholz, Saoirse Soley, Eyvan Collins, the seals of Miner’s Bay, Black Tail and Fallow deer, two ravens (and numerous other birds), the wind, and the water all generously shared their understanding of Mayne Island. Without them, the map would not be possible.
ghosts. The map offers a whisper of a small island community and the ways in which it has been entangled in power structures, big and small.

To map is to be political, and to map is to be hopeful. It is an attempt to make a place legible for oneself and for future visitors. The MISM was created in this spirit. It’s an attempt to represent a place in a way that is already embodied and known to some, with the hopes that it will help others find their way. The map is also an invitation to question: Can we chart new territory in how we relate to one another and the land? Can we map ourselves a different world?

~

The MISM embraces some traditional Western mapping conventions while challenging others. Each sound piece has a symbolic home on the map chosen for specific reasons. Geographic location, desire, memory, and imagination all played into these placements. Pieces such as “Sweet Dreams” (about a haunted road and nightmares) and “The Joy of Cooking Fenison” (chronicling the introduction of an invasive species of deer) exist visually on the map in the areas where the stories take place. “Canoe Walk” (sounds of an afternoon paddle) and “Queen of Nanaimo” (an audio essay about a retired ferry) are placed in more approximate locations reflecting the movements of the vessels. “Chairs Weren’t Made for Dancin’”—an improvised country song connected to a different island—is situated out in the ocean: a reminder that Mayne does not float alone on your screen or in the world. In other cases, the markers were placed deliberately to obscure; collaborators felt that it was not appropriate to share some information publicly (such as where an event took place), countering the “Western imperative for all knowledge to be accessible at all times” (Robinson 21). Standing out from the bunch is “Fumbling Towards Reconciliation,” a conversation between an Indigenous resident on
Mayne, John Aitken (Coast Salish, Haida, and Scottish), and a non-Indigenous part-time resident, Marie Weeks (British, Irish, and French). When Aitken was asked where the dialogue might best be situated on the map, he suggested creating a braided, twisting ring around the island to emphasize the flexible and encompassing nature of his work and his experiences.

We omitted place names as well as common landmarks like the Agricultural Hall, the ferry terminal, and local businesses. Our map attempts to honor the land, the waters, and what they have been through: various uses, claims of ownership, transfers of property, periods of beautiful stewardship as well as eras of neglect and disrespect. Its visual simplicity also allows room for, and draws attention to, an equally central tenet of the project: stories and sounds.

~

While sounds are no more inherently anti-colonial than images, the unfamiliar coupling of cartography and audio challenges MISM visitors to reconsider their assumptions about place and how to orient themselves in relation to it. The design of the media player does not allow for skipping or scrubbing, forcing map visitors to slow down and listen as the pieces unfurl. Sound as a medium also made sense for this specific community. On Mayne, music, storytelling, history, and news happen in-person and out loud. The customary way of being there is to be in conversation. Working with sound was a way to respect this aspect of the island’s operation, enforce a certain relationship to temporality, and offer a reorienting opportunity to MISM visitors.

To achieve these goals, we leaned on existing and newly formed relationships. We recorded audio across the island at different times and through different seasons, creating a localized sound bank, with the goal to blend commanding sounds—generators, ferry horns,
television, tractor trailers, wind, birds—with quieter ones—water droplets, a gate’s chime, a paddle in water, bonfires, scotch broom. Edited together, these soundscapes, interviews, music, and narrations make up the stories on the map. Just as some sounds are louder than others, the same is true for voices and opinions regarding what stories to share and which perspectives to relay.

Click here to listen to the story.

As Stó:lō scholar Dylan Robinson has convincingly argued, listening is informed by one’s social position and experiences (10). Settler forms of perception are often characterized by notions of extraction, possession, and ownership, states of being which fundamentally influence what we hear and how we hear (14). Robinson argues for “forms of listening otherwise” that challenge settler colonial perceptual regimes (15), listening which honors
relations between the listener and listened-to, and through which listeners “feel responsible to sound” (Robinson 15).

Especially on the West Coast of British Columbia, soundmaps, soundscapes, sound walks, and other forms of experimental sound art exist in the shadow of the World Soundscape Project and the work of R. Murray Schafer, Hildegard Westerkamp, and Barry Truax, among others. These artists and scholars fostered an interest in acoustic ecologies and sonic environments. In this tradition, sound recordings can both authentically represent place and highlight the extent to which contemporary sonic environments are “polluted” by non-natural or mechanized sounds (Akiyama 55; Thompson 88). Though these people and projects have been very influential, they have also been rightfully critiqued for assuming the whiteness and settlerness of their subjects and listeners (Akiyama; Jordan; Kelman; Robinson; Thompson).

The MISM was created in conversation with these legacies of sound studies and the realities of living in a world dominated by settler perceptual orders in which sound work is and has been complicit. It embraces context-specific recordings that previous traditions popularized but veers away from attempting to create authentic, accurate, or transparent representations of place. With narration, first person accounts, and many layers of audio, the pieces included on the MISM are stories rather than sonic snapshots. They mark whiteness and settlerness when they are present, pay respect to the multitude of histories and communities connected to this place, allow competing and contrasting accounts to remain unresolved, and foreground both human and environmental concerns.

The Listening Post—a free standing, solar powered console and speaker accessible to the public year-round—also offers a way to listen otherwise. The post, located on the Mayne Island Museum Grounds (see fig. 4), is a way to access the MISM offline and in situ. By
pressing a button, listeners can hear a selection of snippets from the map. The post stands alongside three new information panels describing current and traditional Indigenous relationships to the island and region. These installations are some of the only additions to the Museum in decades, and the post offers a way into the MISM for a community in which offline interaction remains vitally important.

Collaboration with both humans and non-humans in accordance with participatory research methodologies were guiding principles in the creation of the MISM. Mayne artist Jenny Ritter illustrated the project while local musician Emile Scott produced a full audio story. Web designer Jon Schmidt helped bring our vision of the map to life. Others shared stories, memories, songs, a place to record, a borrowed canoe, or a meal. Like life on Mayne,
the MISM offers a conversation, an ongoing discussion about our colonial inheritances, our stories, our varied pasts, and our possible futures.
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Nothing to Hear, So to Speak:
Spaces of Sounding and Listening
at 100 McCaul Street

Angus Tarnawsky
Concordia University

Fig. 1. Pink stairwell at 100 McCaul Street (2020). Image courtesy of author.
Introduction

It’s the second week of March 2020, and I’m listening to the faint rumble of a low frequency hum while standing on the ground floor landing of a pedestrian stairwell. This enclosed space is located at 100 McCaul Street in downtown Tkaronto (Toronto). It’s one of three concrete-walled stairwells in the main building of the Ontario College of Art and Design (OCAD). While all three—color coded as pink, yellow, and green—are frequently traversed by students, staff, and visitors, it’s notable that voices are rarely heard in these spaces. That is not the case today. There is a loudspeaker on a stand in front of me, and from it, two distinct voices emerge. They begin to sing a melody in unison, although soon there is a pause, and for a second or two, the hum recaptures my attention. Before long, a lone voice starts to sing as a woman enters the stairwell and begins to listen. She departs once the singing descends into a boisterous cacophony of laughter. As she opens the door to leave, I notice how the hum increases in volume and reverberates throughout the stairwell.

The Hum

I witnessed this brief encounter while documenting Nothing to Hear, So to Speak, my site-specific audio installation conceived to investigate how co-produced experiences of sounding and listening can encourage transient audiences to consider multiple perspectives of space and place. The work was realized using a pair of wireless vocal microphones and compact loudspeakers. These devices broadcast the ad-hoc sonic contributions of students, staff, and visitors across four transitional and acoustically separate spaces at 100 McCaul Street over the course of one week. The first microphone was positioned on a stand in the pink stairwell (see fig. 1). Its signal was transmitted to a loudspeaker in the yellow one (see fig. 2). A second microphone was hung from an exposed ceiling pipe in the entrance foyer (see fig 3). Its signal
emerged from a loudspeaker in the green stairwell (see fig. 4). Printed instructions on the floor below each microphone explained where to listen.

Fig. 2. Still image from video documentation of *Nothing to Hear, So to Speak* showing yellow stairwell (2020). Image and video courtesy of author.
Fig. 3. Entrance foyer at 100 McCaul Street (2020). Image courtesy of author.

Fig. 4. Green stairwell at 100 McCaul Street (2020). Image courtesy of author.
The project was developed to encourage social interaction and empower a diverse array of participants to sonically engage with urban transitional spaces. Yet, through its aim to enact new formations of listening as part of this process, it inadvertently drew attention to settler colonial modalities of perception. When observing the installation, instead of focusing on specific human-generated sounds (or an absence of them), I became absorbed by the machinic low frequency hum that permeates the transitional spaces of the building. While from one perspective, the hum is merely the sound of an HVAC system, from another, its sonic constitution embodies and exemplifies a dynamic and relational colonial system: a relic of settler colonial interventions in the built environment.

The hum might, in fact, be recognized as one of the pervasive factors of everyday life outlined by theorist Eve Tuck and artist C. Ree in their decolonial treatise *A Glossary of Haunting*. As thinkers and makers, they grapple with how to imaginatively recontextualize the obscured stories of spaces: ones that linger below the surface of seemingly normal circumstances. They call on artists to draw attention to these under-examined occurrences that, they argue, can foreground the persistent manifestations of colonialism and power that exist in the built environment. For Tuck and Ree, creatively reframing a mundane moment to become a meaningful one is vital within a settler colonial context, insofar that focused acts of intervention—as they describe it—can work to “unsettle our sense of space” (653). Although the hum fills the foyer with an almost material presence, it goes easily unnoticed. Put another way: it’s not that the hum is hard to hear—it’s that the hum is actively filtered out and ignored. Stó:lō scholar Dylan Robinson contends that such sounds might seem insignificant, but within a context of normalized ignorance, they can perhaps be understood as the audible trace of
colonization. For Robinson, these are the sounds of settler occupation that linger and haunt everyday spaces, whether we acknowledge them or not (75–76).

Like most—if not all—North American universities, OCAD is located on stolen Indigenous territories. The land surrender for 100 McCaul Street is covered by the contentious Toronto Purchase Treaty of 1805, involving the Mississaugas of the Credit and the British crown. Anthropologist Patrick Wolfe notes how settler colonialism is “premised on the elimination of native societies” and constitutes “a structure not an event” (2). In other words, colonial policies and post-colonial practices continue to suppress Indigenous communities in the present day. On the one hand, these points of suppression might be readily apparent, such as the very fact that a Western university is situated on Indigenous land and tends toward the instruction of Eurocentric narratives. On the other hand, settler colonialism emerges through less obvious channels, such as individual and collective states of perception: frames of being and knowing that are often difficult to locate objectively without lived experiences that can ground a subjective positionality.

In this sense, when employing listening to push back against the grain of normative assumptions, or “listening otherwise” as Robinson suggests, it may be possible to understand who occupies a space and—in certain circumstances—under what terms spaces find themselves occupied (15). From my position—as an artist and settler intent on listening to the resonance of collective actions in site-specific ways—it stands to reason that I must contribute to new forms of listening, not simply new sounds to listen to. While Nothing to Hear, So to Speak might appear to empower participants to simply make sounds, the perceptual formations that these amplifications encourage constitute a substantive contribution toward listening otherwise.
Acoustic Graffiti

A counterpoint to OCAD’s subdued aesthetic of white corridor spaces is offered through the assortment of hand-scrawled words, phrases, and drawings that cover the walls of the yellow stairwell. The graffiti has been tolerated since there are no CCTV cameras present. In turn, the contributors are granted anonymity. Along with the aural potential of the stairwell, the closed nature of its construction means that most sounds made there—or broadcast into the space—are contained acoustically. As a result, whoever makes sound has a certain freedom of expression that is not self-evident in the more surveilled spaces at OCAD (for example, the main entrance foyer). Unlike visual graffiti, augmenting a space with sound leaves no trace and can further generate an affective and embodied connection to place. In one sense, Nothing to Hear, So to Speak investigates this notion through voices, with the proposition that acoustic graffiti, or ad-hoc and anonymous sonic contributions, might be a meaningful addition to transitional spaces. This informal and unregulated re-sounding of a space could describe an unrestricted range of agonistic or antagonistic conversations and/or interactions between groups of people, amongst any number of other potential sonic encounters that work to simultaneously engage acts of sounding with opportunities for listening.

How might sound installations use forms of acoustic graffiti to transgressively unsettle the fixity of settler colonial perception and, in part, nudge everyday audiences toward pursuing a decolonial sensibility in their awareness and actions? Could these insights nurture the development of different political and affective spaces, as well as audiences? To some extent, these questions align with Robinson’s theorization of “critical listening positionality” (9). He contends that it is necessary to understand how personal listening biases are formed and how settler colonial positionalities “can be generally understood as particular assemblages of
unmarked structures of certainty that guide normative perception and may enact epistemic violence” (10). Thus, it not only becomes vital to assess one’s own position—that is: how do I listen?—but also to work collectively to enact changes in larger “places, models, and structures of how we listen” (72). In moving past these formations, Robinson proposes that precisely defining one’s subjectivity is fundamental, insofar as it will prompt questions that allow a listener to “become better attuned to the particular filters of race, class, gender, and ability that actively select and frame the moment of contact between listening body and listened-to sound” (11). Robinson’s theorization informs Nothing to Hear, So to Speak, which provided a platform for expression to allow for the enhanced perceptual awareness of everyday sounds as well as sounds no longer audible.

Conclusion

Nothing to Hear, So to Speak offers a moment of unsettling that while not directly aimed at critiquing colonial structures, nonetheless touches on key areas of this agenda. It crucially reinforces the necessity for further audio art works explicitly tackling settler colonialism. Such projects should align with Gascia Ouzounian’s theorization of “critical spatial sonic practices,” or sound installations that reorient an artist’s relationship to sound and space (106). Ouzounian posits that artists who develop works that meaningfully engage the public and “account for social and political geographies, as well as physical ones” (106) can, in turn, transform spaces to effect powerful change. From this standpoint, it is crucially the people traveling through spaces who hold a distinct power to reorient their social and political dynamics. Artists should continue to work toward making literal and figurative space, through art and research, for diverse contributions that create further opportunities for enacting decolonial processes. For example, a future iteration of the project at 100 McCaul Street might seek the collaboration of
Indigenous students and staff at OCAD, and other community participants drawn from First Nation custodians of the land, to sonically or symbolically cancel out the hum in order to cleanse the space and reclaim the spatial imposition of the sound, as well as the energy it saps. Just as certain frequencies lay claim to soothe and heal, other frequencies—like the hum—may in fact not just remind but indeed place many individuals in bodily states of affective despair. In its existing form, Nothing to Hear, So to Speak offers up the smallest moments of everyday life as valuable opportunities to listen otherwise. Through this process, listening becomes a powerful way to chisel away at the colonial structures and systems that remain obscured in the built environment.

Works Cited


Angus Tarnawsky is an artist, musician, educator, researcher, and PhD student at Concordia University in Tiohtià:ke (Montreal). His doctoral research examines the social and political
dimensions of everyday listening practices. Central to this work is the creation and analysis of site-specific sound installations in a variety of locations throughout the built environment. He holds an MFA from OCAD University and a BMus from the University of Melbourne.

Email: angus.tarnawsky@concordia.ca
Imagine you’re in a museum. What do you hear?

Bhavisha Panchia
Rhodes University, Makhanda, South Africa

The audio collage *Imagine you’re in a museum. What do you hear?* is a curatorial response to colonial histories and their impact on material heritage and culture in light of questions of extraction concerning land, resources, and sacred, cultural objects. It was commissioned for “Tracing Fractures: Across Listening, Movement, Restitution, and Repair,” a program held in connection with the 2020 contemporary art biennial Manifesta 13 at Vieille Charité in Marseille (see fig. 1), where sound installations, readings, listenings, performances, and interventions addressing restitution and repair through art were presented within the courtyard of this historic site.¹ What was once a chapel and hospital to accommodate the destitute and the elderly, Vieille Charité is today a space for science and culture that houses the Musée d’Archéologie Méditerranéenne and the Musée des Arts Africains, Océaniens, et Amérindiens.

The collage weaves together disparate musical tracks, extracts of interviews, speeches, and audio notes as listening provocations that speak to the affective resonances of dislocation and the dispossession of land, people, and (im)material culture. It was conceptualized in response to the site of Vieille Charité and the ethnographic collections it houses. Despite the low hum and silence that museums encourage, their collections voice a violent past. An extractive and accumulative logic is evidenced in the ethnographic objects housed by museums, as well as in their methods of display and storage. John Kannenberg’s research into the sounds of museums is extended through the audio collage into an ideological inquiry of the sounds and silences perpetuated by museums built within the logics of coloniality.

¹ “Tracing Fractures: Across Listening, Movement, Restitution, and Repair” was conceived and curated by Nikola Hartl, Alya Sebti, and Jonas Tinius.
Museums are guardians who communicate for objects, directed by the pathology of Eurocentrism. As institutions they are symbols of power that speak with a voice filled with the grain of authority as they house, care for, and preserve their collections and archives. Considering forms of listening in relation to the paradigms of the colonial period raises questions about what has been lost in the museum’s translation of cultural artifacts: what has been silenced? What are we unable to hear? As sociologist Rolando Vázquez crucially points out, the question of who speaks and who listens is critical for understanding the configuration and determination of the world around us, including the art we see and the music we listen to (44).

What voice does the (ethnological) museum speak with? How can we listen to the acoustic impossibilities of objects housed in these institutions? Who are we listening to as we move through the museum and encounter objects and archives? These questions are significant to the colonial pasts and epistemological futures of ethnographic objects. They also resonate with the Western intellectual lineages and histories of sound studies, which have thus far come to reinforce Western ideals of a white normative subject that is figured as the universal default frame of reference. Marie Thompson’s formulation of “white aurality,” together with Gavin Steingo and Jim Sykes’s “remapping” of sound studies, begin to unsettle the monolithic ear that has informed a large corpus of sound studies and, arguably, the history of sound in art and culture.

Imagine you’re in a museum. What do you hear? is a response to European modernity’s monopolization of representation in museums and archives. It opens with a field recording of the interior of the British Museum that slowly crossfades into a description of the intricately carved ivory hip pendant mask worn by the Oba of Benin during important ceremonies. The
mask was looted during the British expedition of 1897 and is currently held in storage in the British Museum. In 1977, the organizers of the arts and culture festival FESTAC’ 77 in Lagos, Nigeria, asked to borrow this sixteenth-century ivory mask for the duration of the festival. Yet, the museum refused, citing concerns around the object’s fragility.

Such power dynamics between imperial forces and colonial subjects are investigated in the audio collage through late-nineteenth and early-twentieth-century ethnographic field recordings from Africa, which make evident the relation between European recordists and those being recorded. The history of phonography in Africa is fundamentally linked to colonialism, as European explorers left for expeditions with technical instruments for capturing native peoples in their milieu and for mapping out the continent. This collection of data took on visual form in maps, illustrations, and photographs, and also occurred through the medium of audio recording (Sterne; Mhlambi). The phonograph in particular, as sound scholar Jonathan Sterne puts it, “became a tool of embalming an already supposedly frozen native present for the future” (319).

Following these expeditions, World Fairs marked periods of scientific, industrial, and technological discovery and invention, undergirded by colonial expansion around the world. The Paris Colonial Exposition of 1931 was a celebratory fête of international colonialism that highlighted colonial conquests and territories. It brought the European public (approximately eight million visitors over six months) into contact with France’s imperial achievements. More significantly, it was a site to propel propaganda for European nations at the helm of civilization. In addition to the visual fanfare of dioramas, demonstrations, and performances,

---

2 FESTAC’ 77, the Second World Black and African Festival of Arts and Culture, was a major international festival held in Lagos, Nigeria, from 15 January 1977 to 12 February 1977.
the Exposition featured colonial recordings of sounds from Bali, India, Laos, Vietnam, Cambodia, and Madagascar captured by Phillipe Stern, who worked at Musée de la Parole et du Geste de Paris of the University of Paris\(^3\) and was responsible for the musicology section of the museum. A sample from the recording titled “Dahomey: Danse devant le fetiche,” recorded by Stern and housed at the Center for Research in Ethnomusicology (CREM), is featured in the audio collage as an invocation of ‘fetish’ objects removed from their original contexts.\(^4\) This acoustic signal from the past presented in CREM’s online repository provides scant information regarding the recording. This lack of data makes it difficult to listen to such recordings today, as the auditor has little to no contextual understanding of the musicians and performers, who are ultimately unnamed and unacknowledged.

The 1931 Colonial Exposition also included presentations from countries such as the then-nascent Union of South Africa, which did not directly participate in the fair but instead presented a dossier of information on its history and economic, agricultural, and mineral resources. This document, written in French, aimed to demonstrate the state’s progress since 1910 and, more importantly, to provoke capital investment for agricultural, mining, and industrial sectors and establish trade relations with Europe. It opens with an image of the Cape of Good Hope, the southernmost point in South Africa and a term used to engender optimism around the opening of the sea route to Asia. The excerpt included in the collage, taken from the French National Library archive, features a voice reading a list of minerals available in South Africa—gold, diamond, coal, iron, copper, arsenic, barium, chrome, cobalt, mercury, platinum,

\(^3\) In 1931 the Musée de la Parole et du Geste was the only institution in France collecting and preserving sound archives.

\(^4\) CREM houses sound archives that gather commercial recordings and over 48,000 field recordings of music and oral traditions from around the world dating from 1900 to the present.
and zinc—and is a stark reminder of the history of dispossession and extraction in the region, most notable in The Natives Land Act passed in 1913⁵ that restricted Black African land ownership, and the continued struggle for land reparation and restitution taking place in South Africa today. Author Fiston Mwanza Mujila further explores the histories and legacies of colonialism and extraction in the opening lines of his novel *Tram 83*:

> In the beginning, was the stone, and the stone prompted ownership, and ownership a rush, and the rush brought an influx of men of diverse appearance who built railroads through the rock, forged a life of palm wine, and devised a system, a mixture of mining and trading. (1)

The impassioned voice of Mujila reading this passage is sounded in the collage and placed in conversation with tracks by Dane Belany, Healer Oran, Chino Amobi, Tiago Correia-Paulo, Lamin Fofana, and Speaker Music, whose musical expressions offer a poetic retort that critically work against the negation of Black expression and life.

*Imagine you’re in a museum. What do you hear?* asks its audience to listen to these complex histories and to the voices of institutions that shape and control the circulation and meaning of cultural objects that have been displaced and dispossessed, including natural resources that have been extracted and accumulated. It draws upon the voices of archives, authors, documents, and musicians to speak back to these distant and recent histories, and to reveal the colonial mechanisms of control and the injuries of cultural heritage.

---

⁵ The Natives Land Act of 1913 initially limited African land ownership to seven percent. It restricted Black people from buying or occupying land except as employees of a white person, allowing for majority white ownership of land. Thereafter, the apartheid government began the mass relocation of Black people to their homelands and to inadequately planned and serviced townships.
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In his 1920 memoir, Zurich Chronicle (Chronique Zurichoise), the Romanian Jewish poet and co-founder of the dada movement Tristan Tzara described the group’s second public performance on February 26, 1916, at the Cabaret Voltaire: “The [audience’s] appetite for the mixture of instinctive meditation and ferocious bamboula that we managed to present forced us to give it” (328). The French term bamboula—referring to both a small drum and the syncopated musical cadence developed by enslaved Africans trafficked to the Americas, analogous to the Afro-Cuban clave—was frequently used as a catch-all to refer to Afro-Caribbean religious rituals, sometimes conflated with Vodùn (The Oxford Dictionary of Music). Tzara’s use of the term “bamboula” exemplifies how European stereotypes about African diasporic art forms foundational to modernist art were folded back into the language deployed to describe their own artistic interventions, suggesting how the dadaists’ performance at the Cabaret Voltaire can be understood as a form of sonic blackface.

Art historical scholarship on the dadaists’ interest in arts nègres—the term used to refer collectively to artistic forms from Africa and the Pacific Islands collected by Europeans in the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries and subsequently contested by Francophone intellectuals of African descent (Hays Edwards)—has largely overlooked the role of sound and
music. Indeed, a focus on elements of performance ensembles pilfered or traded by colonial officers in West Africa and transformed into “sculpture” by dealers in Europe and the Americas such as Paul Guillaume and Marius de Zayas (Goldwater; Rubin; Shannon; Mileaf; van Hoesen; Grossman) risks reifying the ways in which African meanings were erased in favor of European formal interests. Relatedly, studies of dada performance have downplayed the historical context of colonialism—perhaps most notably in the recent traveling exhibition organized by the Museum Rietberg entitled *Dada Africa*—by focusing on the specificities of the group’s engagements with the burgeoning field of ethnography, rather than the physical and epistemological violence that rendered such distorting accounts possible (Veit; Kauffman). This essay aims to undo conventional understandings of dada by examining the premiere of the first simultaneous poem, “The Admiral is Searching for a House to Rent (*L’Amiral cherche une maison à louer*),” through a Black feminist theoretical lens. I will identify the specific Afro-Atlantic musical sources for Tzara’s innovation and then chart the material and ideological mechanisms by which the dadaists’ use of Afro-Atlantic cultural forms has been obscured.

The poem, which premiered at the Cabaret Voltaire on February 26, 1916, consisted of Tzara and his colleagues Marcel Janco and Richard Huelsenbeck reading phrases aloud at the same time in multiple languages: French, English, and German, respectively. The Cabaret Voltaire’s convener Hugo Ball articulated the overall effect of the trio’s performance, recalling, “That is a contrapuntal recitative in which three or more voices speak, sing, whistle, etc., at the same time in such a way that the elegiac, humorous, or bizarre content of the piece is brought out by these combinations” (57). The words, overlapping one another, rendered the meaning of each phrase unintelligible to the listener, weaving an abstract aural tapestry.
The depiction of the lyrics in the group’s first publication demonstrates how Tzara and Ball utilized Western musicological conventions to document and translate the ephemeral sonic dimensions of “The Admiral is Searching for a House to Rent” into a visual representation. The performance is rendered similarly to an orchestral score with the words of each speaker arranged in lines evocative of sheet music (Demos). Yet the illustration in the journal *Cabaret Voltaire* (see fig. 1) provides no clarification of the generalized terms “bamboula” and “contrapuntal” used by Tzara and Ball, respectively, to describe the cadence of “The Admiral is Searching for a House to Rent.”

![Image of page from Cabaret Voltaire](https://internationaldadaarchive.org/)

**Fig.1.** “L’amiral cherche une maison à louer,” *Cabaret Voltaire*, 1916. Cabaret Voltaire, edited by Hugo Ball. Zurich: 1916. International Dada Archive, Special Collections and Archives, University of Iowa Libraries.

Click [here](https://internationaldadaarchive.org/) to listen to the recording of L’amiral.

The retrospective nature of both artists’ accounts may have influenced their choice of terminology, particularly Tzara who was writing in 1920 shortly after having arrived in Paris amid *le tumulte noir* (Blake). As Tyler Stovall, Brett A. Berliner, and Petrine Archer-Shaw,
among others, have demonstrated, the white European public was increasingly enthralled by art forms originating from the African continent and the American diasporas in wide circulation following the conclusion of the First World War. This so-called *vogue nègre* was perceived as pervasive, exemplified in the commentary of the anonymous correspondent of *Le Journal Amusant*, writing in 1919, who observed that the whole world dances the *bamboula* and identified “Cubisme, art nègre, jazz-band, bolchevisme” as being touched by it (“L’art nègre” 14). The *bamboula* thus grew to be associated with not just African sculptural forms and Haitian Vodún but also American jazz music, contemporary European painting, and international socialism; myriad new ideas in art and society thus became amalgamated into a form of generalized blackness. How, then, can we understand Tzara’s invocation of *bamboula*? Was this merely an attempt to reframe the history of dada as more relevant to the Parisian milieu?

Consider the source material for the poem itself. Janco’s lines in English are, in fact, derived from two ragtime songs: “I Love the Ladies,” published in 1914 by the tin-pan alley firm Waterson, Berlin and Snyder (Schwartz), and “Everybody’s Doing It,” released in 1911 by the influential composer and lyricist Irving Berlin. Both songs exemplify ragtime’s main distinguishing characteristic: its innovative use of continuing syncopation, or accenting the offbeat notes. Developed by Black American pianists beginning in the mid-nineteenth century, the right hand played the melodic embellishment over the left hand as it kept regular 2/4 time (Waldo). This was deployed explicitly in Berlin’s composition—“see that ragtime couple there”—and selected by Tzara for Janco’s contribution to the performance. The word ragtime is a contraction of the phrase “ragged time,” referring to music that essentially tore classical European musical time to shreds. It also connotes its precursors, the cakewalk performances of
enslaved Africans satirizing white society as well as the blackface minstrelsy industry of the antebellum United States that popularized the adapted innovations of Black musicians (Lott).

Given the negligible presence of individuals of African descent in Zurich prior to the end of the First World War, it is entirely possible that Tzara never actually experienced a performance by musicians of African descent when he composed “The Admiral is Searching for a House to Rent.” However, the wide circulation of sheet music enabled Europeans to perform ragtime compositions such as “Everybody’s Doing It.” Thus, Tzara’s utilization of the term *bamboula* to refer to the first simultaneous poem was accurate insofar as the work incorporated direct citations of contemporaneous compositions derived from Afro-Atlantic musical forms. However, contemporary performances of the “The Admiral is Searching for a House to Rent” remain so cacophonous as to obscure the syncopation associated with ragtime.

Comparing the so-called “chantes nègres” that immediately followed the premiere performance of “The Admiral is Searching for a House to Rent” offers a possible explanation for Tzara’s choice to hide ragtime within the simultaneous poem. As Ball recounted in his diaries, published in 1927 as *Flight Out of Time*:

“Chante nègre (or funèbre) No. 1” was especially prepared and was performed as if in a Vehmic court in black cowls and with big and small exotic drums. The melodies for “Chante nègre II” were composed by our esteemed host, Mr. Jan Ephraim, who had been involved with African business for some time a while ago, and he was helping eagerly with the performance like an instructive and stimulating prima donna. (57–58)

Ephraim, the owner of the bar located at Spiegelgasse 1 where the group convened, was a merchant marine who had traveled to sub-Saharan Africa, marking him as the only member of
the dadaist circle of immediate friends to have visited the continent. While the details of Ephraim’s time in Africa remain undocumented and thus lost to historians, it is evident that Ball and Huelsenbeck understood him as an authority on African culture. The dadaists’ interest in information about Afro-Atlantic cultures reflects the central paradox of the appropriation of those cultures by European artists during the colonial period: the reliance on secondary accounts by non-Black sources.

This practice is exemplified in Henry C. Rowland’s short story “The Bamboula,” published in the September 1904 edition of the New York City-based weekly magazine *Outlook*. Rowland relates a fictional conversation between the narrator and a German botanist, Dr. Leyland, aboard a ship anchored at harbor in Port-au-Prince, Haiti. Sparked by the sound of percussive instruments offshore, Dr. Leyland describes an encounter in which members of the island’s Black Creole elite, Dr. Fouchère and his wife, secretly eluded him in order to participate in the *bamboula*. Ultimately, Rowland frames their participation as evidence of the immutability of race: even the most white-assimilated people of African descent in the French colonies cannot refrain from participating in Vodûn rituals.

Tzara’s enfolding of ragtime lyrics and melodies within “The Admiral is Searching for a House to Rent” can be understood as a self-conscious mimicry of the essential but often downplayed role of so-called *arts nègres* in the development of European modernist art. Like Rowland’s story, Tzara’s incorporation of the rhythms of popular ragtime—itself an appropriated form of Black American music—into the simultaneous poem represents a thrice removed form of racialized parody. The first simultaneous poem thus implicitly problematized the notion of “the avant-garde,” subsequently popularized by Peter Bürger, that falsely equates European whiteness with innovation and simultaneously effaces the modernity of Black
makers who produced the works that artists of European descent appropriated. Furthermore, Tzara’s trespass on the divisions between fine art and popular entertainment, academic study and colonial extraction, reveals the contours of these divisions and thus their ideological limitations. “The Admiral is Searching for a House to Rent” thus subtly identifies the fundamental imbalance in the rhetoric of “inspiration”—so slyly, in fact, as to be overlooked by contemporary critics as well as several generations of art and performance historians. Nevertheless, exhuming such gestures remains a prerequisite to understanding the impact of the early twentieth century’s constructs on the artworks, actors, institutions, and discourses with which we grapple today.
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Uncolonizing Early Sound Recordings

Budhaditya Chattopadhyay
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From the earliest days of sound recording, technology shared a fraught relationship with the pre-modern cultures of South Asia, and in particular with traditional music and sounds. Imperial companies and colonial ethnographers introduced the recording of sound in South Asia in the early 20th century. The recording of musical performances along with other forms of sound (i.e., speeches, comedy shows, theatre, and staged acts) within a studio setting that were then made available publicly as objects for reproduction and as products for sale had far-reaching social and sonic effects. Recording technologies altered the performance aesthetics of sound practices by limiting the duration and scope of improvisation and transformed modes of distribution by transmitting vocals, instrumental music, and local sounds as fixed objects to mass audiences for the first time. Yet there was resistance to recording the voices and sounds of colonized subjects in South Asia on the part of leading musicians and sound practitioners who, for a long time, refused to commit their improvisational sounds to shellac discs. What were the reasons for their protestation?

The advent of sound recording in India was engineered by London’s Gramophone and Typewriter Company (GTC) in the early 1900s. Engineer Frederick William Gaisberg and local agent George Dillnutt produced the first recordings after 1902 (Kinnear xvii - xx). These were mostly made on three-minute long shellac discs, as South Asia had an abundance of raw
resin to produce such objects. Therefore, the intention of the imperial company headed by colonial ethnographers like Gaisberg was to exploit local resources for building a global business that would benefit colonial powers. Indeed, the period in which recording expeditions were made was also the peak of Britain’s imperial expansion in South Asia and in many other regions of the Global South, through trade and the manipulation of new markets.

As early recordings emerged from the colonial mapping of auditory cultures for exploitation and consumption, with the ultimate goal of making profit, musical forms and intricate vocal renditions like Khayal were adapted, rendered, or compromised to suit the requirements of studio recording, marketing, and sales abroad; in the Global South, no such market had previously existed. As a result, many hybrid and mutated forms emerged, such as Thumri and Dadra, which were flexible, recording-friendly, adapted versions of Khayal without the aural intricacy of free and temperamental improvisation that tended to transcend temporal and durational limitations. Practitioners of Dhrupad, an ancient sonic form, fell way behind in the race for quick money, as they were least interested to transmute their aesthetics of performance. Those who adapted or conformed to GTC’s business model and approach to the colonization of sound were most successful.

1 Khayal is a major form of Hindustani classical music associated with poetic lyrics and sung words based in a raga. In Khayal, ragas are extensively ornamented, and the style calls for more technical virtuosity than intellectual rigour.

2 Thumri is another vocal form in Hindustani classical music that is based on romantic literature. Thumri as a style is derived from Khayal in a shortened form.

3 Dadra is a short and light classical vocal form in Hindustani classical music based on certain simpler rhythm cycles or tala.

4 Dhrupad is one of the oldest forms of musical sound practice in India, and Alaap is its introduction, which is an elaborate and free-flowing introduction of the raga. While Dhrupad takes a few hours to present, Alaap takes more than an hour to establish the raga’s mood through an intricate building of sound without rhythm accompaniment.
Among these recordings were songs by Gauharjan, a so-called *tawaif* (or courtesan) from Calcutta and an exponent of light classical vocal music, performing popular and abridged versions of ragas. Other early vocalists included Soshi Mukhi, Fanni Bala, Sila Bai, Hari Dasi, and N.C. Chakraborty (Farrell; Kinnear 89), among others. Missing in these recordings were many great voices of the times, including Dhrupad and Khayal singers like Fayaz Khan and Alladiya Khan, who regularly performed for hours on stage, as live performances were abundant in South Asia.

One may ask why serious Dhrupad musicians went unrecorded while an amateur singer like Gauharjan was celebrated as the first historic voice recorded in South Asia. The fact that Gauharjan was not a native Indian cannot be denied given the colonial-racial roots of early sound recording. Both her parents were Europeans, and British colonial officers could likely relate to her more easily because of that racial lineage. She also understood the economic potential of recorded sound as a commercial product, and, within a few years, her income from sound sales skyrocketed. While Gauharjan rode to fame as the prima donna of early sound recording in South Asia, Dhrupad and Khayal performers like Fayaz Khan and Alladiya Khan remained unknown beyond the region, as they were resistant to truncate their improvisations for the sake of adhering to the limits of recording technology; rather, they advocated for live performance over recorded discs. The historical importance of early sound recordings is thus drawn into question, as they registered only a narrow section of sound practices.

The shellac discs produced as sounding objects or commodities for sale as a result of colonial ethnographic expeditions were meant for expanding European markets in South Asia using local materials and exploitative labor. They aimed for maximizing gains and minimizing costs (Parthasarathi 199). There was little to no interest in the cultural practices and aesthetics
of local music, and colonial officers did not appreciate the sophistications that the traditional and pre-modern sound practices in South Asia possessed. For example, GTC’s agent in Calcutta was John Watson Hawd, whose interest in Indian music appeared to be squarely focused on business. In June 1902, he wrote “The native music is to me worse than Turkish but as long as it suits them and sells well what do we care?” (Farrell 33). Gaisberg, for his part, noted in his travelogue, “We entered a new world of musical and artistic values . . . The very foundations of my musical training were undermined” (Lubinski and Steen 281). To colonialist sonic ethnographers, Asia was largely a continent to explore and plunder.

This tendency is reflected in the way local sounds were damaged and aesthetics threatened. Performers made unsolicited interruptions during recording expeditions to serve the technological dispositif of sound recording. For example, at the end of her sessions, Gauharjan would state her name. This high-pitched and flirtatious announcement, made toward the end of the recording to register the name of the performer, was common to early recordings of South Asian musical performances. The declaration of the singer seemed to epitomize a proclamation with a European egoism that went against the core essence of surrender to the nature of South Asian traditional sound practices such as Dhrupad. Within the edifice of the recording object on shellac discs, the recorded alter ego would haunt its content, structure, and style of presentation. It is no surprise that many devoted local musicians did not want to record their practices in order to resist the mutating invasion of early recordings.

At the turn of the twentieth century, South Asian sound practitioners found themselves at the cultural intersection of two worlds: the natural improvisational character of music from South Asia, and the modernist technological invasion of imperial recording companies and their business-driven ethnographic expeditions as part of the colonial exploitation of local
Nevertheless, recorded discs opened up a portal for transcultural interactions even though the power hierarchy was heavily unbalanced due to the predominant colonial structures of exploitation, undermining, and control. The early days of GTC’s recording industry in South Asia indeed marked a new phase in the interface between South Asia and Europe. For the first time practitioners from the Global South entered the world of Western media in the form of shellac discs. But, as shown above, this exposure was more a commercial initiative than a cultural exchange. The recording industry’s main purpose was to put in place a crude capitalistic system within a community of practitioners who were devoted to their craft of embodied sound through devotion to free improvisation.

Ragas, for example, reflected natural temporalities, with each one dedicated to a time of the day. What the recording industry brought to this spatio-temporally free-flowing and natural practice of sound was to transform an emergent auditory situation into a cultural mode of transmission and consumption in the form of shellac discs. In this view, early sound recording was a deeply damaging intrusion. Broadly drawing on Walter Benjamin’s notions of the loss of art’s “aura” through mechanical reproduction, and on Theodor Adorno’s thoughts on aura in relation to the gramophone, one can develop a critical attitude toward recording technology since recorded sound objects “no longer possess their traditional reality” (Adorno 50). Following the castration of a live sound into a commercial object, one can contend that early sound recordings compromised the aura of sound in South Asia, reducing the elaborate, temporally open, and improvisational pre-modern sound practices into deliverable sound objects. This is why musicians, particularly more traditionally oriented Dhrupad musicians, resisted recording, as they feared that the dedicated search for integrity and true voice would be contaminated. This disruption of South Asian sonic worlds was initiated by colonizing
forces and was, in many cases, irreversible, as the changes to cultural forms like Khayal and Thumri, for example, were later accepted as normative.

While many South Asian sound practitioners were reluctant to face the phonograph, there was also an apocryphal apprehension that recording media would exorcise sound from an artist’s voice. Musicians’ concrete concerns were centered on the sudden public availability of their art and the limitations that recordings imposed on performance time. Over the course of the last century, these concerns have shaped both the practice and the content of post-colonial sounds. However, after India’s independence in 1947, musicians gradually reclaimed reproduction technology through long-form recording of live performances as sonic traces, balancing adherence to customary structures with the compulsion to remain relevant. Currently, traditional sound practices like Dhrupad survive in a relatively appropriate form and format, through today’s freer digital access and sharing, rather than being muffled by recording’s dark beginning.
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“…the table was set, and we were never dead”: On the Persistence of Colonial Listening in Germany

Pedro J S Vieira de Oliveira
Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies

_A Series of Gaps Rather Than a Presence_ (2019) is a sound piece that critically inquires upon the persistence of modern/colonial listening practices in the recording and assessment of language, and more specifically of accent. The work is part of a long-term artistic and academic research project oriented at the use of so-called “accent recognition software” (sometimes “dialect recognition”) by the German Federal Office for Migration and Refugees (Bundesamt für Migration und Flüchtlinge, hereafter BAMF) in asylum seeking processes of undocumented migrants since late 2017 (_Drucksache 19/190_). The introduction of automated evaluation, as of this writing exclusive to Germany, replaces other, similarly questionable sociolinguistic methods for determining the origins of people without papers—a common procedure for asylum processes both in the European Union and the UK.¹ The use of software

¹ The most common procedure for language tests in asylum cases is called Language Analysis for Determination of Origin (LADO), in which linguists and trained “native speaker experts” work with recordings from asylum hearings and interviews to produce an evaluation of the dialects spoken versus the applicant’s claimed country of origin. For more information on LADO and its implications, see Nick and Cambier-Langeveld.
comes to aid and speed up decision-making processes that can—and often do—determine the course of one’s life.

Similar attempts of tying “origin” to the voice can be found in the history of sound recording in Germany, in particular the efforts of the Prussian Phonographic Commission (Preussische Phonographische Kommission, hereafter PK). In the early twentieth century, the PK produced an extensive collection of language and accent/dialect recordings of prisoners of war in Germany, together with personal assessment files, phonetic transcriptions, and palatograms (Dögen 16). The PK, like the BAMF, was concerned with finding and assessing “scientific” links between language and origin, though each program pursued this work to different ends: research for the PK, asylum processing for the BAMF. Yet, stories about “home” emerge in both cases as constituents and assets to these historical and contemporary processes. Thus, modern/colonial forms of listening enact and reinforce displacement on the discursive level—home is always an elsewhere—while at the same time attempting to find said home (i.e., citizenship) in the voice on a purportedly “scientific” and analytical level.

Just as an interest in recording and evaluating accents or dialects is the impetus that drives both institutions, it is the persistence of finding home, both in the archives of the PK as well as in the elicitation cues of the BAMF, that sediments the discursive ground from which their stories might be connected. *A Series of Gaps Rather Than a Presence*, a performance and radio piece commissioned by the CTM Festival Berlin and Deutschlandfunk Kultur and produced by the author of this essay, probes these historical, spatial, and temporal connections. In what follows, I do not seek to merely provide an exegesis of this work but instead to extend an invitation to dwell on the questions that it leaves open, or merely rehearses.
By focusing its efforts on *listening*, as both a sense and set of techniques, sound art can reveal the persistence of modernity/coloniality in the migration industry’s unstoppable desire to find “truth” in the body. Listening to the German dialect recognition apparatus—the software but also case workers, telephones, forms, the border regime, and the long history of dialect recording in Germany—a case can be made as to how the boundaries between the “objective” and “subjective” are not only contingent on but also reinforced by technologies within a juridical and economic infrastructure whose goal is, across a century, to sustain otherness. Yet, through works like *A Series…*, I argue that the performance of stories about home holds the potential not to find said home in the voice but rather to complicate the boundaries between body, accent, citizenship, and belonging, thus parting ways with the idea of home altogether.

**Working With(in) the Gaps**

First presented as a forty-five minute live performance at HAU2 Berlin on January 30, 2019, featuring electronics, spoken word and singing (see fig. 1), *A Series...* was later re-recorded in studio, edited, and mixed for radio broadcast; it premiered on May 31, 2019 as part of the *Klangkunst* program of Deutschlandfunk Kultur.² The piece was composed as a mixture of essay and theatre, with heavy use of poetic and historical narrative interspersed not only with archival recordings of the PK (available at the *Lautarchiv* of the Humboldt-University in Berlin) and short, freely available snippets from the speech database used by the BAMF to train its software, but also blues, freestyle hip-hop, and electroacoustic composition. Its narrative draws connections between the König Friedrich-August Kaserne, a former prisoner of

---

² *A Series...* features electronics and narration by the author and spoken word and singing by Enana Alassar, Lio D.I.C., and Mariana Bahia.
war camp in Chemnitz (1914–19), and the Ebersdorf field office of the BAMF, inaugurated in October 2005 and located near the abandoned camp buildings. By weaving in and out of both places and their practices, *A Series*... stays within the gaps of these temporally and spatially connected places, guided by one main concern: how the idea of home is elicited.

Fig. 1. Performance at HAU2 Berlin on January 30, 2019. Photo by the author.

In speech and language assessment, an “elicitation cue” is the device with which speakers allegedly produce speech without necessarily having to think of its semantic value. A key example might be the so-called “Harvard Sentences,” designed to elicit variations of English speech with seemingly trivial phrases: e.g., “The harder he tried the less he got done”
or “Schools for ladies teach charm and grace” (“IEEE” 16–7). The supposed “neutrality” of elicitation cues stays at odds with sociolinguistic research methods, in which one finds an informed concern with provoking “natural” speech from speakers of a given language or dialect, which might approximate an unmediated prosody and articulation of “true” speech (Meyerhoff et al.). Nevertheless, to assume that the choice of elicitation cues is always already neutral, or at least consciously so, is only half the story.

The BAMF has been allegedly using “culturally appropriate” images as elicitation cues for their so-called accent recognition software since 2017. While it does not officially disclose the content of these images on the grounds of a “threat to national security” (“Bilder für sprachbiometrische Tests”), reports from anonymous sources maintain that the images are often of a family eating a meal at home or other social scenes. A 2018 photo published by the BAMF on Facebook, illustrating a (probably staged) dialect test, includes one such image that allegedly depicts Bozkashe, an Afghan ball game (“Automatische Dialekterkennung”). Images like these are often chosen because they are assumed to be cross-cultural, evoking a sense of a shared familiarity. It is by describing these images over the telephone in “free, natural speech” (BAMF, Benutzerhandbuch “Sprachbiometrie”) that the accent test is performed and a list of probabilities of a certain dialect being spoken is retrieved (see fig. 2).

The PK, on the other hand, did not use images as elicitation cues but nevertheless resorted to methods that could be easily replicated by different prisoners, from written word or memory, to elicit a natural, informal speech that would then be assessed, described, transcribed, and “scientifically” evaluated. Linguists and phoneticians often requested

---

3 I have written previously about the affective infrastructures of the “dialect test” and the telephone, in particular the evocative power of image and story into eliciting an accent. See “On the Endless Infrastructural Reach of a Phoneme.”
prisoners of war to recount stories or religious texts; among European soldiers, the most popular story conveyed was that of the “Prodigal Son,” comprising almost one-sixth of all the recordings. Non-Christian French and British colonial soldiers were often asked to recite parts of the Quran or other religious texts, or to sing folk songs and tell tales specific to their countries. While many European soldiers familiar with the “Prodigal Son” were Christian and often able to recount the parable from memory, one finds in the PK archive two instances of the story recorded by colonial soldiers: Gangaram, from Nepal, of Hindu religion and imprisoned in Wünsdorf (PK271), and François Joachim-Arnould, from Martinique, imprisoned in Münster (PK744), religion unknown.

Fig. 2. Example (modified for privacy reasons) of a test result file. See appendix for English translation.
Finding “Home”

Much like depictions of family meals and ball games, the parable of the Prodigal Son manifests a feeling of home; yet it also provides a moral lesson of repentance, of finding unconditional acceptance and forgiveness by returning home, provided this home is always elsewhere. Frantz Fanon has brilliantly demonstrated how language underscores the “shift and split” in consciousness that takes place when a colonial subject returns home (9). He argued that the opening of a “rift” (19) between colonial othering and self-identification troubles the psyche of the colonized and turns “home” into an elusive concept, never fully realized, ever contradictory and ambiguous.

Such complexity is reflected in A Series..., in which none of the performers speak German as their native tongue, and yet all use different articulations, inflections, and pronunciations, mixing and mashing their own native languages, for a largely German audience.4 The three singers begin by outlining key information from the test subjects’ stories: where they took place, what was revealed, what was concealed. Later, they disconnect from this idea to fabulate and improvise freely on the Prodigal Son’s motto—“he was lost but now he’s found; he was dead, but now he lives again”—while also adding references to the accent test imagery (see fig. 3).

---

The complicated (and often violent) history of language recording—here as forced labor for German linguists—is presented to the listener as inherently implicated in the continuation of Germany’s political project of borders, nationhood, and citizenship. The piece carefully reintroduces Joachim-Arnould’s recording, this time speaking over a computerized, allegedly “neutral” German text-to-speech voice reading from a BAMF memorandum (see fig. 5). The simultaneity of these audio tracks reveals how both work as “nodes of state, social, and cultural formation,” extending their reach from the past to present and future engagements (Campt 72).
Reintroduction and juxtaposition also seek to uncover how “scientific” listening is, in fact, *colonial* listening, whereby the PK and the BAMF partake in “a rich linguistic tradition of thinking difference as a problem located . . . in the relation between spoken and written language” (Ochoa Gautier 140). Both institutions sustain a modern/colonial desire for resolution, conclusion, and “objective truth” (Robinson). More importantly, their ethos relies on the belief that *listening*, as it were, can reveal said “truth,” through separation, sequencing, assessment, and determination (Ferreira da Silva). Because of this, the connection they attempt to find between citizenship and voice is not a feature of the body, but rather remains “between the ear, the mechanics of articulation and the actual sound of pronunciation” (Ochoa Gautier 140). In other words, it is a question of *listening*—a listening that while presenting itself as “objective” remains, in fact, very much teleological.

*A Series...* articulates how “home” (i.e., citizenship and nationality) is ultimately contingent, while presenting ways in which it might be possible to complicate and refuse it altogether. By focusing on the idea of home as a prerequisite for storytelling, *A Series...* asks how and where home is defined but also, more importantly, *by whom*. It puts the audience in charge of determining how these institutional bodies attempt to listen to citizenship and why it
has been so for the past hundred years. To do so, it demands a situated, aesthetic, and relational listening (Vieira de Oliveira) to these voices—now.

Free-form improvisations in many tongues and the Creole recording of the Prodigal Son story are not merely retellings but rather refusals—a complete desacralization of the home that both the PK and the BAMF try to find. Through these documents, languages are made into “wild tongues” (Anzaldúa 75) and extricated from any idea of “citizenship” insofar as Arabic, Kurdish, Portuguese, Creole, German, and English seamlessly flow into one another, bold and overperformed. This creates a multi-lingual, multi-accented sound journey that connects both institutions in their desire to find “truth” in language and accent, an effort that constantly refuses, at the same time, to define people by the languages or accents they speak with.
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Appendix: English Translation of Figure 2

| Office code: | (blacked out) |
| Personal code: | (blacked out) |
| Issue date: | 2017-(blacked out) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language/Dialect recognition (Probabilities in %)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf Arabic:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(blacked out):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(blacked out):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Languages or Dialects:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recording details:

| Duration of recording: | (blacked out) |
| Net Speech duration: | 22.733333s |
Pedro J S Vieira de Oliveira is a researcher, sound artist, and educator. His work advances a decolonizing inquiry of listening and the materiality of sound, with a specific focus on (sonic) racialized violence in the policing of border and urban spaces. He holds a PhD from the Universität der Künste Berlin and is currently a Postdoctoral Fellow at the Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies. He is also a founding member of the Decolonising Design platform. Email: pedro@oliveira.work
A Map of a Sound as a Space: Christine Sun Kim’s *(LISTEN)* (2016)

Charles Eppley
Independent Scholar

“Meet at 14th Street and Avenue C, 1:00 PM (rain or shine).” The instructions were provided by email and social media, announcing an upcoming participatory public artwork by artist Christine Sun Kim *(LISTEN)*. Over two days in October 2016, two dozen people followed the call to coalesce on a nondescript intersection at the edge of Lower Manhattan near the East River. Behind a bright blue gate loomed a Con Edison powerplant, which provides continuous electricity to the city’s five boroughs, omitting a soft static buzz. Kim greeted those who had gathered that warm and breezy day with an inviting wave: “It’s good to see everyone,” she signed in American Sign Language (ASL), transposed to spoken-English through an ASL interpreter: “We’re going to do a little bit of walking.”

Kim detailed her close connection to both the intersection and neighborhood. Though Con Edison was not the source of the infamous Northeast Blackout in 2003, its building reminded the artist of her experience of the event, during which she was forced to navigate the city in near-total darkness by foot. The building functioned as an architectural mnemonic device that triggered a vital memory of Kim’s life in the city, sparking a multisensory

*31 (LISTEN) was organized by Charles Eppley with Sam Hart and Kerry Santullo through Avant.org, a distributed platform for contemporary art and research.*
experience of time and space. Kim created vivid mental images of her journey for the group, which included a mixture of Deaf, Hard of Hearing (HoH), and hearing people, complementing her story with descriptive textual captions displayed on an iPad (see figs. 1–3). As she explained, during her walk she encountered bars giving away free beer that could not be kept cool. Emergency vehicles cascaded spinning light across the deadened cityscape, illuminating its walls with a fragmented splash. Like others, Kim felt growing bodily discomfort as the temperature swelled in a thick August heat, tempered in part by a chilled and fragrant ale.

Fig. 1. Christine Sun Kim near the Con Edison plant during (LISTEN). Reproduced by permission of Charles Eppley.
Fig. 2. Kim in front of Suen Dragon community garden during (LISTEN). Reproduced by permission of Charles Eppley.
Fig. 3. Kim leading participants through the streets during (LISTEN). Reproduced by permission of Charles Eppley.
Many Deaf and HoH people use captions to access information provided in spoken language (e.g., English) as well as musical and environmental sounds. Captions aim to provide complete, literal descriptions of any verbal or acoustical communication perceived by a hearing person in the same situation. In the absence of captioning, Deaf and HoH people are denied access to crucial information available to others. But captions are not always comprehensive and in practice are often undermined by missing speech or vague language (e.g., “tense music”) that does not contain the multisensory and emotional nuance otherwise felt by hearing persons. As Kim describes in her article “The Sound of Non-Sounds,” conventional captioning often fails to appreciate that “listening is an experience involving more than sound, [including] a variety of different sensory and emotional responses beyond sonic properties.” While Kim’s recounting of the 2003 blackout—one of many personal memories shared in (LISTEN)—was intensified through her use of poetic captions, these texts did not simply illustrate her narrative: the phrases intervened in captioning principles, in part by adopting poetic prose and by embracing multisensory perspectives that disregarded objective description, including “(the sound of darkness manifesting itself)” and “(the sound of temperature rising)” (see fig. 4).

As a young person, Kim was “taught to believe” that sound was “not a part of her life,” as she explained in her 2015 Ted Talk “The Enchanting Music of Sign Language.” Yet deafness contains a spectrum of acoustical and sonic experience, spanning those who hear some to those who do not hear, and those who have always been Deaf to those who experience gradual or sudden hearing loss. Regardless of one’s individual cochlear ability, Deaf and HoH people experience sound both physiologically and socio-culturally, including physical vibrations and through the biased cultural values (and resulting barriers) that define and
enforce norms of sound-making and listening in public and private space. Despite cultural presumptions that Deaf people live in a silent world, few are likely to consider sound, noise, and the politics of listening as carefully as Deaf and HoH people, whose lives are
circumscribed by barriers to acoustical information. Kim’s works, such as *LISTEN*, portray the complexity of Deaf life—and Deaf culture—in and beyond society’s ableist sonic infrastructures and ideologies, envisioning encounters with listening through memory, affect, and non-acoustical perceptual modes that integrate sight, touch, taste, and smell. In this process, they activate sound as a category unbound from a single aesthetic or social modality and challenge ideologies that privilege certain ranges and abilities of hearing over others.

*LISTEN* subverts dominant histories and theories of sonic art that center the experiences and values of hearing people. Rethinking the soundscape as a site for social critique, this article critiques modes of soundwalking that perpetuate oppressive systems of power, especially those that naturalize and normalize hearing and/or interpret sonic environments as neutral spaces of perception and consumption. Engaging contemporary soundscape theory in a consideration of deafness, power, and control, this article frames *LISTEN* as a critical soundwalk, challenging the discursive and phenomenological barriers that constrain contemporary sonic art theory and history. These barriers, such as embracing socially-constructed values of normalcy in hearing, are identified by Christopher Kentz as reinforcing a broader “hearing line,” theorized as an “invisible boundary separating deaf [sic] and hearing people” in society (18).

Kim’s *LISTEN* reinterpreted *Listen* (1966) by sonic artist Max Neuhaus (1939–2009), an early example of soundwalking (within colonialist trajectories of Western art and music). In this practice, listeners move throughout a dynamic acoustical environment, or *soundscape*, in search of aesthetic meaning. A soundwalk aims to activate one’s perception of

---

32 “Deaf” is lowercase as appearing in quote. In this article, I use capitalized “Deaf” to connote its relationship to disability as a socio-political identity.

33 *Listen* (1966) is fully considered in the author’s PhD dissertation (Eppley).
sonic space, creating a sense of place in which active listening offers compelling acoustical information about spatial environments typically interpreted visually. Neuhaus realized *Listen* many times between 1966 and 1974. For the first iteration, he asked participants to join him at 14th Street and Avenue C in Manhattan, “where the street bisects a power plant,” the same location as Kim (Neuhaus 63). Neuhaus—like Kim, who realized her version on the original’s fiftieth anniversary—led them through the area to transform the sounds of the street into avant-garde environmental music.

Neuhaus’s *Listen* represents a detachment of sound from conventional musical categories, and the formation of “sound art” as a discrete genre of “dematerialized” postwar art. But what mode of listening develops in this framework? Who is allowed to creatively engage and interpret the soundscape? What manner of soundwalking emerges from Neuhaus’s epistemology of listening, where the local soundscape is acoustically mediated as raw sonic material, detached from the community and observed from the outside?34

Rather than observing the soundscape and larger sensory environment from the outside-in, Kim deployed an inside-out perspective that emphasized a non-cochlear and multisensory approach to listening that centered community relationships using an expanded definition of sound. Developing a route (see fig. 5) based on her personal experiences and social position, Kim redefined the soundscape from a Deaf cultural position, inviting participants to consider: What does “temperature rising” sound like? How do we feel fluorescent lightbulbs “moan?” Which noises convey the concept, or event, of “darkness manifesting?” (*LISTEN*) foregrounded the many affordances that deafness and Deaf culture provide to sonic art practice

---

34 In a 1990 reflection on *Listen* and soundwalking as a post-Cagean practice, Neuhaus described “passing through Puerto Rican street life” before returning to his artist studio (63), a phrasing that sets himself and his listeners as detached from their urban setting, which is then cast as an exotic and racialized place from a settler colonial vantage.
and scholarship, a paradigm that prioritizes cochlear perception over other modalities of listening. And by enclosing Neuhaus’s original title in a caption—(LISTEN)—Kim expanded the formal boundaries of soundwalking to include more than sound itself.

Following Con Edison, stops included the Suen Dragon community garden, where Kim met a man who did not know ASL but with whom she communicated through facial expression, touch, and body language. Later, she reflected on her career outside of two galleries where she had formative encounters with sound as an artistic medium. By the New Museum, she described the discriminatory experiences that she often endures as a Deaf artist working in museums that do not prioritize accessibility, and a harrowing bike accident on the Bowery. In the Delancey Street subway station, she described feeling train announcements
resonate in her winter coat pockets, the rumbling vibration of cars on the Williamsburg Bridge, and sweet and savory fragrances from a nearby creperie.

Early in *LISTEN*, Kim stopped at Tanya Towers, a residential facility providing housing and behavioral services to Deaf, HoH, and DeafBlind individuals (see fig. 6). Kim recounted how newly-implemented public policies reduced access to Deaf services and resources in the early 2000s: “I was working here during the blackout,” Kim said, “and learned that mental illness is very real, and access to services is very tough… A lot of Deaf clubs [closed], and it was my first experience with gentrification.” As the Deaf historian Jaipreet Virdi reminds us, deafness is “perceived as a problem in dire need of a solution” and situated in a “misunderstood [and] political body moving between and beyond borders” (31). In response, *LISTEN* reconceives soundwalking as a barrier-breaking practice oriented toward community-building and multisensory communication. This model contrasts the extractive acousmatic model represented by Neuhaus’s *Listen*, where sounds are detached from not only their sources but also systems of power that organize society through a politics of listening, reinforcing the “hearing line.” Kim has discussed her own experiences of exclusion, ranging from museums that do not pay for interpreters and captioning, to family members and friends who refuse to learn how to sign (Brown). The experience of being left out of conversation at a table full of hearing people, known as “dinner-table syndrome,” is common for Deaf and HoH individuals. The experience contributes to what Kim calls a “Deaf rage” that manifests in response to not only social isolation but the many “lifelong consequences [of audism] that impact Deaf people’s health, education, and employment” (Brown).

Listening can help make sense of the world around us, past and present, but it is not an objective or neutral process. Nina Sun Eidsheim argues that listening is a multisensory event
Fig. 6. “Deaf Persons Crossing” sign outside of Tanya Towers. Reproduced by permission of Charles Eppley.
used to “forge our relations to one another” (3-6). When we listen, we reinforce “a particular set of values” that mobilize hierarchies of race, gender, and disability (Eidsheim 3-6). To decolonize listening and deploy soundwalking as a tool for imagining alternatives to “dominant ideas about space and knowledge”—as argued by musicologist Allie Martin in the article “Hearing Change in the Chocolate City: Soundwalking as Black Feminist Method”—we must disempower inequity where it exists, including the audist and classist roots of soundscape practice and theory that prioritize hearing culture. How can soundwalking interrupt the “hearing line” to examine a politics of listening in contemporary art and within soundscape studies, a field being reexamined from critical social perspectives that reveal how sound and listening codify intersecting power dynamics of class, race, ethnicity, and gender? 

Philosopher Robin James argues that we must not essentialize sonic experiences that are deeply subjective, as sound is a “sociohistorically specific concept” (7). Further, Jonathan Sterne shows how soundscape studies itself “began from disability,” arguing that disability simulations (crude generalizations of “blind, Deaf and wheelchair-based experiences”) have played a misguided role in defining our dominant theories of sonic space (13). However, James suggests that sound and resonance are still useful for theorizing social practices designed “to avoid and/or oppose systemic relations of domination” (5-6). *LISTEN* exemplifies such a qualitative mode of listening in which sound is a subjective and unstable category that evades the regimes of auditory quantification. Whereas Neuhaus’s *Listen* arguably concealed the artist’s own social position through a process of detachment and normalized hearing, Kim’s

---

35 For example, Rebecca Lentjes has written extensively on the gendered soundscape of anti-choice protests at U.S. abortion clinics, coining the term “sonic patriarchy” to describe the methods of gendered domination through noise and forced listening. See Lentjes et al., “The Ripping Apart of Silence”; Lentjes, “The Sonic Politics of the US Abortion Wars.”
work foregrounds her own phenomenological subjectivity and social embeddedness. Rather than objectifying the soundscape as a neutral resource, (LISTEN) reimagines soundwalking as an empathetic practice for interpersonal connection and community building, beyond individual hearing abilities. Subverting dominant logics of the soundscape, Kim advocates for the moral imperative to control one’s own narrative in a society designed for others: “Hearing people have the privilege to be misunderstood, but I can’t afford to be misunderstood, [because] that equals lost opportunities, a loss of my rights” (Brown).

Carla Rice, Susan D. Dion, and Eliza Chandler argue that it is essential to reckon with the legacies of colonization in the visual arts through disability. Working from this proposition, this article argues that such a reckoning extends beyond the perceptual domain of vision. Centering Deaf culture and disability studies helps us to understand how sonic artworks embody and act on a politics of listening (whether or not artists intend to do so) to serve techno-social cultures that reinforce and/or dismantle systems of oppression. We must thus cultivate space for Deaf, HoH and DeafBlind people to convey, theorize, and model their own experiences of sound. Furthermore, it is an ethical historical imperative, especially in light of Deaf and HoH erasure, to avoid reproduce a “hearing line” in our interpretations of the sonic. If we desire to decolonize sonic art and sound studies—i.e., to ascertain and counteract its many harms by yielding power to those who have been harmed—then we need to actively acknowledge and disempower the widespread inequities that comprise our scholarship, including by shedding ableist ideologies that impose limited epistemologies of listening defined by hearing culture and enforced by hearing people.

---

36 For example, the DeafBlind poet John Lee Clark has written about how museum touch tours can enforce a visual hegemony that privileges sight over touch.
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